

INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

September 4, 2026

14.1

TO: The Honorable Board of Police Commissioners

FROM: Chief of Police

SUBJECT: GRANT APPLICATION AND AWARD TRANSMITTAL FOR THE
PROPOSITION 64 PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY GRANT PROGRAM
– COHORT 4

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

1. That the Board of Police Commissioners (Board) REVIEW and APPROVE this report.
2. That the Board TRANSMIT the attached grant application and award, pursuant to Administrative Code Section 14.6(a), to the Mayor, Office of the City Administrative Officer, Office of the Chief Legislative Analyst, and to the City Clerk for Committee and City Council consideration.
3. That the Board TRANSMIT the attached grant award, pursuant to Executive Directive No. 3, Item 14.e, to the Mayor.
4. That the Board REQUEST the Mayor and City Council to:
 - A. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police, or designee, to retroactively apply for and accept the award, after a review of the terms and conditions by the City Attorney, for the Proposition 64 Public Health and Safety Grant Program – Cohort 4 (Prop. 64 - C4 Grant Program), from the California Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC). in the amount of \$6,000,000 for the period of July 1, 2026, through December 31, 2031.
 - B. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police or his designee to negotiate and enter into a grant awarded agreement, subject to approval as to form by the City Attorney;
 - C. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police or his designee to negotiate and execute a new contract or amend an existing contract with an external accounting firm to conduct a financial audit on the grant program, not to exceed \$25,000, commencing at the date of contract execution through December 31, 2031, subject to review and approval of the City Attorney;
 - D. AUTHORIZE the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) to spend up to the grant amount of \$6,000,000 in accordance with the grant award agreement;

- E. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to submit grant reimbursement requests to the grantor and deposit grant receipts in the Police Department Grant Fund No. 339, Department No. 70;
- F. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to prepare Controller instructions for any technical adjustments as necessary to implement Mayor and Council intentions, subject to the approval of the City Administrative Officer, and authorize the Controller to implement the instructions;
- G. AUTHORIZE the Controller to establish a grant receivable and appropriate \$6,000,000 to appropriation account, account number to be determined, within Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, for the receipt and disbursement of the Prop. 64 – C4 Grant Program;
- H. AUTHORIZE the Controller to increase appropriations and transfer, as necessary, to Prop. 64 – C4 Grant Program funds from Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, account number to be determined, to Fund No. 100, Department No. 70, account numbers and amounts as follows:

Account No. 001090	Overtime General	\$ 27,096
Account No. 001092	Overtime Sworn	\$ 623,642
Account No. To Be Determined	Related Costs	\$ 72,873

- I. AUTHORIZE the City Clerk to place the following actions relative to the Prop. 64 - C4 Grant Program on the City Council agenda on July 1, 2027 or on the first meeting day thereafter:

AUTHORIZE the Controller to increase appropriations and transfer, as necessary, to Prop. 64 – C4 Grant Program funds from Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, account number to be determined, to Fund No. 100, Department No. 70, account numbers and amounts as follows:

Account No. 001090	Overtime General	\$ 54,192
Account No. 001092	Overtime Sworn	\$ 1,247,283
Account No. To Be Determined	Related Costs	\$ 145,746

- J. AUTHORIZE the City Clerk to place the following actions relative to the Prop. 64 - C4 Grant Program on the City Council agenda on July 1, 2028 or on the first meeting day thereafter:

AUTHORIZE the Controller to increase appropriations and transfer, as necessary, to Prop. 64 – C4 Grant Program funds from Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, account number to be determined, to Fund No. 100, Department No. 70, account numbers and amounts as follows:

Account No. 001090	Overtime General	\$ 54,192
Account No. 001092	Overtime Sworn	\$ 1,247,283
Account No. To Be Determined	Related Costs	\$ 145,746

- K. AUTHORIZE the City Clerk to place the following actions relative to the Prop. 64 - C4 Grant Program on the City Council agenda on July 1, 2029 or on the first meeting day thereafter:

AUTHORIZE the Controller to increase appropriations and transfer, as necessary, to Prop. 64 – C4 Grant Program funds from Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, account number to be determined, to Fund No. 100, Department No. 70, account numbers and amounts as follows:

Account No. 001090	Overtime General	\$ 54,192
Account No. 001092	Overtime Sworn	\$ 1,247,283
Account No. To Be Determined	Related Costs	\$ 145,746

- L. AUTHORIZE the City Clerk to place the following actions relative to the Prop. 64 - C4 Grant Program on the City Council agenda on July 1, 2030 or on the first meeting day thereafter:

AUTHORIZE the Controller to increase appropriations and transfer, as necessary, to Prop. 64 – C4 Grant Program funds from Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, account number to be determined, to Fund No. 100, Department No. 70, account numbers and amounts as follows:

Account No. 001090	Overtime General	\$ 27,096
Account No. 001092	Overtime Sworn	\$ 623,642
Account No. To Be Determined	Related Costs	\$ 72,873

DISCUSSION

The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) is seeking to accept a 6,000,000 grant award for for the Proposition 64 Public Health and Safety Grant Program – Cohort 4, from the California Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC), for the performance period of July 1, 2026, through December 31, 2031.

Through the Revenue and Taxation Code (RTC), Section 34019, subd. (f)(3)(C), the BSCC provides grant funds to local governments that assist with law enforcement, fire protection, or other local programming to address public health and safety associated with the implementation of the Control, Regulate and Tax Adult Use of Marijuana Act. The Gang and Narcotics Division submitted a proposal to use the grant funds to (1) Reduce illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations; (2) Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations; and (3) Protect consumer health and legal market integrity. The grant funds are allocated for sworn

overtime costs (\$5,560,888) for direct enforcement activities, civilian overtime costs (\$228,000) for data collection and required reporting, laptop and software subscription (\$159,256), and training (\$26,856). Additionally, the grant program required a set aside of \$25,000 for an independent financial audit.

For any questions, please contact Senior Management Analyst II Barbra Montesquieu, Strategic Planning and Policies Division, Grants Section; at (213) 486-0380.

Respectfully,



JIM McDONNELL
Chief of Police

Attachments

DRAFT

STANDARD AGREEMENT

STD 213 (Rev 03/2019)

AGREEMENT NUMBER

BSCC 1453-26

PURCHASING AUTHORITY NUMBER (If Applicable)

BSCC-5227**1. This Agreement is entered into between the Contracting Agency and the Contractor named below:**

CONTRACTING AGENCY NAME

BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

CONTRACTOR NAME

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, POLICE DEPARTMENT**2. The term of this Agreement is:**

START DATE

July 1, 2026

THROUGH END DATE

December 31, 2031**3. The maximum amount of this Agreement is:****\$6,000,000.00****4. The parties agree to comply with the terms and conditions of the following exhibits, attachments, and appendices which are by this reference made a part of the Agreement.**

EXHIBITS	TITLE	PAGES
Exhibit A	Scope of Work	4
Exhibit B	Budget Detail and Payment Provisions	4
Exhibit C	General Terms and Conditions (02/2025)	4
Exhibit D	Special Terms and Conditions	4
Attachment 1*	Proposition 64 Public Health and Safety (Prop 64 PH&S) Grant Request for Proposals	*
Attachment 2	Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Program Cohort 4 Application for Funding	14
Appendix A	Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Program Cohort 4 Scoring Panel Roster	1
Appendix B	Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Program Funds	2

* This item is hereby incorporated by reference and can be viewed at:

<https://www.bscc.ca.gov/proposition-64-public-health-safety-grant-program/>**IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THIS AGREEMENT HAS BEEN EXECUTED BY THE PARTIES HERETO.****CONTRACTOR**

CONTRACTOR NAME (if other than an individual, state whether a corporation, partnership, etc.)

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, POLICE DEPARTMENT

CONTRACTOR BUSINESS ADDRESS

100 WEST FIRST STREET

CITY

LOS ANGELES

STATE

CA

ZIP

90012-4112

PRINTED NAME OF PERSON SIGNING

~~MARQUEECE HARRIS-DAWSON~~ COP

TITLE

CITY COUNCIL PRESIDENT

CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DATE SIGNED

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

CONTRACTING AGENCY NAME

BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

CONTRACTING AGENCY ADDRESS

2590 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 200

CITY

Sacramento

STATE

CA

ZIP

95833

PRINTED NAME OF PERSON SIGNING

COLLEEN CURTIN

TITLE

Deputy Director

CONTRACTING AGENCY AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DATE SIGNED



EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK**1. GRANT AGREEMENT – Proposition 64 Public Health and Safety Grant Program**

This Grant Agreement is between the State of California, Board of State and Community Corrections (hereafter referred to as BSCC) and the City of Los Angeles, Police Department (hereafter referred to as the Grantee or Contractor).

2. PROJECT SUMMARY AND ADMINISTRATION

- A. Following the adaptation of Proposition 64, the City of Los Angeles (City) enacted Measure M, establishing a comprehensive framework for the regulation, taxation, and enforcement of medical and recreational. Despite legalization, illegal businesses continue to operate posing direct threats to public safety, consumer health, and community trust. In 2025, the Los Angeles Police Department's cannabis enforcement operations resulted in 61,899 pounds of cannabis seized, 138 misdemeanor arrests, 32 felony arrest, and 34 firearms recovered. Under Proposition 64 PPA 1, the City will: Reduce illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations; Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations; Protect consumer health and legal market integrity. The grant funds will be used for enforcement and public safety operations, equipment, technology, data collection, and training; project activities will focus on enforcement and interagency collaboration.
- B. Grantee agrees to administer the project in accordance with Attachment 1: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Request for Proposals (incorporated by reference) and Attachment 2: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Program Cohort 4 Application for Funding, which are attached and hereto and made part of this agreement.

3. PROJECT OFFICIALS

- A. The BSCC's Executive Director or designee shall be the BSCC's representative for administration of the Grant Agreement and shall have authority to make determinations relating to any controversies that may arise under or regarding the interpretation, performance, or payment for work performed under this Grant Agreement.
- B. The Grantee's Designated Financial Officer and Project Director must be employees of the Contractor. The Grantee's project officials shall be those identified as follows:

Authorized Officer with legal authority to sign:

Name: MARQUEECE HARRIS-DAWSON
Title: CITY COUNCIL PRESIDENT
Address: 200 NORTH SPRING, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 473-7008
Email: councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org

Designated Financial Officer authorized to receive warrants:

Name: BARBRA MONTESQUIEU
Title: SR MANAGEMENT ANALYST
Address: 100 WEST FIRST STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 486-0380
Email: n3202@lapd.online

EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK

Project Director authorized to administer the project:

Name: AHMAD ZAREKANI
Title: POLICE CAPTAIN III
Address: 251 EAST SIXTH STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90014
Phone: (213) 833-3700
Email: 31205@lapd.online

- C. Either party may change its project representatives upon written notice to the other party.
- D. By signing this Grant Agreement, the Authorized Officer listed above warrants that he or she has full legal authority to bind the entity for which he or she signs.

4. DATA COLLECTION

Grantees will be required to comply with all data collection and reporting requirements as described in Attachment 1: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Request for Proposals and Attachment 2: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Program Cohort 4 Application for Funding.

5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A. Grantee will submit quarterly progress reports in a format prescribed by the BSCC. These reports, which will describe progress made on program objectives and include required data, shall be submitted according to the following schedule:

Quarterly Progress Report Periods

1. July 1, 2026 to September 30, 2026
2. October 1, 2026 to December 31, 2026
3. January 1, 2027 to March 31, 2027
4. April 1, 2027 to June 30, 2027
5. July 1, 2027 to September 30, 2027
6. October 1, 2027 to December 31, 2027
7. January 1, 2028 to March 31, 2028
8. April 1, 2028 to June 30, 2028
9. July 1, 2028 to September 30, 2028
10. October 1, 2028 to December 31, 2028
11. January 1, 2029 to March 31, 2029
12. April 1, 2029 to June 30, 2029
13. July 1, 2029 to September 30, 2029
14. October 1, 2029 to December 31, 2029
15. January 1, 2030 to March 31, 2030
16. April 1, 2030 to June 30, 2030
17. July 1, 2030 to September 30, 2030
18. October 1, 2030 to December 31, 2030
19. January 1, 2031 to March 31, 2031
20. April 1, 2031 to June 30, 2031

Due no later than:

- November 15, 2026
- February 15, 2027
- May 15, 2027
- August 15, 2027
- November 15, 2027
- February 15, 2028
- May 15, 2028
- August 15, 2028
- November 15, 2028
- February 15, 2029
- May 15, 2029
- August 15, 2029
- November 15, 2029
- February 15, 2030
- May 15, 2030
- August 15, 2030
- November 15, 2030
- February 15, 2031
- May 15, 2031
- August 15, 2031

Note: Project activity period ends June 30, 2031. The period of July 1, 2031 to December 31, 2031 is for completion of Final Local Evaluation Report and program-specific compliance audit only.

EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK

B. Evaluation Documents	Due no later than:
1. Local Evaluation Plan	November 20, 2026
2. Final Local Evaluation Report	December 31, 2031
C. Other	Due no later than:
Program-Specific Compliance Audit	December 31, 2031

6. PROJECT RECORDS

- A. The Grantee shall establish an official file for the project. The file shall contain adequate documentation of all actions taken with respect to the project, including copies of this Grant Agreement, approved program/budget modifications, financial records and required reports.
- B. The Grantee shall establish separate accounting records and maintain documents and other evidence sufficient to properly reflect the amount, receipt, and disposition of all project funds, including grant funds and any matching funds by the Grantee and the total cost of the project. Source documentation includes copies of all awards, applications, approved modifications, financial records and narrative reports.
- C. Personnel and payroll records shall include the time and attendance reports for all individuals reimbursed under the grant, whether they are employed full-time or part-time. Time and effort reports are also required for all subcontractors and consultants.
- D. The grantee shall maintain documentation of donated goods and/or services, including the basis for valuation.
- E. Grantee agrees to protect records adequately from fire or other damage. When records are stored away from the Grantee's principal office, a written index of the location of records stored must be on hand and ready access must be assured.
- F. All Grantee records relevant to the project must be preserved a minimum of three (3) years after closeout of the grant project and shall be subject at all reasonable times to inspection, examination, monitoring, copying, excerpting, transcribing, and auditing by the BSCC or designees. If any litigation, claim, negotiation, audit, or other action involving the records has been started before the expiration of the three-year period, the records must be retained until the completion of the action and resolution of all issues which arise from it or until the end of the regular three-year period, whichever is later.

7. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- A. Existing law prohibits any grantee, subgrantee, partner or like party who participated on the Proposition 64 PH&S Scoring Panel (See Appendix A) from receiving funds from the Proposition 64 PH&S grants awarded under this RFP. Applicants who are awarded grants under this RFP are responsible for reviewing the Proposition 64 PH&S Scoring Panel membership roster (see Appendix A) and ensuring that no grant dollars are passed through to any entity represented by the members of the Proposition 64 PH&S Scoring Panel.
- B. In cases of an actual conflict of interest with a Scoring Panel member, the Board may revoke the grant award and legal consequences could exist for the parties involved, including, but not limited to, repayment of the grant award.

EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK**8. STATE AUDIT REQUIREMENTS**

Grantees are required to provide the BSCC with a program-specific compliance audit that covers the entire service delivery period of the grant (July 1, 2026 to December 31, 2031). The audit report will be due no later than the end of the contract term, December 31, 2031. The program-specific compliance audit shall be performed by a Certified Public Accountant or a participating county or city auditor that is organizationally independent from the participating county's or city's project financial management functions. Expenses for this final audit may be reimbursed for actual costs up to \$25,000.

In addition, the BSCC reserves the right to call for a program, compliance or financial audit at any time between the execution of the grant agreement and three (3) years following the end of the grant period.

The Department of General Services, State Controller, the California State Auditor, the Department of Finance, or their designated representative shall have the right to review and to copy any records and supporting documentation pertaining to the performance of this grant.

EXHIBIT B: BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

1. INVOICING AND PAYMENTS

- A. The Grantee shall be paid in quarterly in arrears by submitting an invoice (Form 201) to the BSCC that outlines actual expenditures claimed for the invoicing period.

Quarterly Invoicing Periods:

1. July 1, 2026 to September 30, 2026
2. October 1, 2026 to December 31, 2026
3. January 1, 2027 to March 31, 2027
4. April 1, 2027 to June 30, 2027
5. July 1, 2027 to September 30, 2027
6. October 1, 2027 to December 31, 2027
7. January 1, 2028 to March 31, 2028
8. April 1, 2028 to June 30, 2028
9. July 1, 2028 to September 30, 2028
10. October 1, 2028 to December 31, 2028
11. January 1, 2029 to March 31, 2029
12. April 1, 2029 to June 30, 2029
13. July 1, 2029 to September 30, 2029
14. October 1, 2029 to December 31, 2029
15. January 1, 2030 to March 31, 2030
16. April 1, 2030 to June 30, 2030
17. July 1, 2030 to September 30, 2030
18. October 1, 2030 to December 31, 2030
19. January 1, 2031 to March 31, 2031
20. April 1, 2031 to June 30, 2031

Due no later than:

- November 15, 2026
February 15, 2027
May 15, 2027
August 15, 2027
November 15, 2027
February 15, 2028
May 15, 2028
August 15, 2028
November 15, 2028
February 15, 2029
May 15, 2029
August 15, 2029
November 15, 2029
February 15, 2030
May 15, 2030
August 15, 2030
November 15, 2030
February 15, 2031
May 15, 2031
August 15, 2031

Final Invoicing Periods:

21. July 1, 2031 to September 30, 2031
22. October 1, 2031 to December 31, 2031

Due no later than:

- November 15, 2031
February 29, 2032

**Note: Only expenditures associated with completion of the Final Local Evaluation Report and the financial audit may be included on these last two invoices.*

- B. All project expenditures (excluding costs associated with the completion of the Final Local Evaluation Report and the program-specific compliance audit) must be expended by the end of the grant project period, June 30, 2031, and included on the invoice due August 15, 2031. Project expenditures spent after June 30, 2031 will not be reimbursed.
- C. The Final Local Evaluation Report is due to BSCC by December 31, 2031. Expenditures spent for the completion of the Final Local Evaluation Report during the period of July 1, 2031 to December 31, 2031 must be submitted during the Final Invoicing Periods, with the final invoice due on February 29, 2032. Supporting fiscal documentation will be required for all expenditures claimed on during the Final Invoicing Periods and must be submitted with the final invoice.
- D. The program-specific compliance audit is due to BSCC by December 31, 2031. Expenditures spent for the completion of the financial audit during the period of July 1, 2031 to December 31, 2031 must be submitted during the Final Invoicing Periods, with the final invoice due on February 29, 2032. Supporting fiscal documentation will be required for all expenditures claimed during the Final Invoicing Periods and must be submitted with the final invoice.
- D. Grantee shall submit an invoice to the BSCC each invoicing period, even if grant funds are not expended or requested during the invoicing period.

EXHIBIT B: BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

- E. Upon the BSCC's request, supporting documentation must be submitted for project expenditures. Grantees are required to maintain supporting documentation for all expenditures for the life of the grant and make it readily available for review during BSCC site visits. See Exhibit A. Scope of Work, Item 6. Project Records. Grantee also agrees to maintain such records for possible audit for a minimum of three (3) years after final payment.
- F. The BSCC maintains the right to disallow all or any portion of an invoice at any time based upon information or documentation uncovered through a site visit, desk review, audit, etc. The BSCC may disallow all or any portion of an invoice even after an invoice is approved or paid.

2. GRANT AMOUNT AND LIMITATION

- A. In no event shall the BSCC be obligated to pay any amount in excess of the grant award. Grantee waives any and all claims against the BSCC, and the State of California on account of project costs that may exceed the sum of the grant award.
- B. Under no circumstance will a budget item change be authorized that would cause the project to exceed the amount of the grant award identified in this Grant Agreement.

3. BUDGET CONTINGENCY CLAUSE

- A. This grant agreement is valid and enforceable only if sufficient funds are made available through the Proposition 64 Initiative (the Control, Regulate and Tax Adult Use of Marijuana Act) via the State and Local Government Law Enforcement Account. On or before July 15th of each fiscal year, the State Controller shall deposit funds derived from Proposition 64 taxes into the State and Local Government Law Enforcement Account pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 34019, subdivision (f)(3). The grantee agrees that the BSCC's obligation to pay any sum to the grantee under any provision of this agreement is contingent upon the availability of sufficient funding made available to the BSCC pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 34019, subdivision (f)(3)(C).
- B. If Proposition 64 PH&S funding is reduced or falls below estimates contained within the Proposition 64 PH&S Cohort 4 Request for Proposals, the BSCC shall have the option to either cancel this Grant Agreement with no liability occurring to the BSCC or offer an amendment to this agreement to the Grantee to reflect a reduced amount.
- C. If BSCC cancels the agreement pursuant to Paragraph 3(B) or Grantee does not agree to an amendment in accordance with the option provided by Paragraph 3(B), it is mutually agreed that the Grant Agreement shall have no further force and effect. In this event, the BSCC shall have no liability to pay any funds whatsoever to Grantee or to furnish any other considerations under this Agreement and Grantee shall not be obligated to perform any provisions of this Grant Agreement except that Grantee shall be required to maintain all project records required by Paragraph 6 of Exhibit A for a period of three (3) years following the termination of this agreement.

4. PROJECT COSTS

- A. Grantee is responsible for ensuring that actual expenditures are for eligible project costs. "Eligible" and "ineligible" project costs are set forth in the March 2026 BSCC Grant Administration Guide, which can be found under Quick Links here:
https://www.bscc.ca.gov/s_correctionsplanningandprograms/
- B. The provisions of the BSCC Grant Administration Guide are incorporated by reference into this agreement and Grantee shall be responsible for adhering to the requirements set forth therein. To the extent any of the provisions of the BSCC Grant Administration Guide and this agreement conflict, the language in this agreement shall prevail.

EXHIBIT B: BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

- C. Grantee is responsible for ensuring that invoices submitted to the BSCC claim actual expenditures for eligible project costs.
- D. Grantee shall, upon demand, remit to the BSCC any grant funds not expended for eligible project costs or an amount equal to any grant funds expended by the Grantee in violation of the terms, provisions, conditions or commitments of this Grant Agreement.
- E. Grant funds must be used to support new program activities or to augment existing funds that expand current program activities. Grant funds shall not replace (supplant) any federal, state and/or local funds that have been appropriated for the same purpose. Violations can result in recoupment of monies provided under this grantor suspension of future program funding through BSCC grants.

5. PROMPT PAYMENT CLAUSE

Payment will be made in accordance with, and within the time specified in, Government Code Chapter 4.5, commencing with Section 927.

6. WITHHOLDING OF GRANT DISBURSEMENTS

- A. The BSCC may withhold all or any portion of the grant funds provided by this Grant Agreement in the event the Grantee has materially and substantially breached the terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement.
- B. The BSCC may withhold the final payment amount as security, to be released to the Grantee upon compliance with all grant provisions, including:
 - 1) submittal and approval of the final invoice;
 - 2) submittal and approval of the final quarterly progress report; and
 - 3) submittal and approval of any additional required reports, including but not limited to the Final Local Evaluation Report and the program-specific compliance audit.
- C. The BSCC will not reimburse Grantee for costs identified as ineligible for grant funding. If grant funds have been provided for costs subsequently deemed ineligible, the BSCC may either withhold an equal amount from future payments to the Grantee or require repayment of an equal amount to the State by the Grantee.
- D. In the event that grant funds are withheld from the Grantee, the BSCC's Executive Director or designee shall notify the Grantee of the reasons for withholding and advise the Grantee of the time within which the Grantee may remedy the failure or violation leading to the withholding.

7. EXECUTIVE ORDER N-6-22 – RUSSIA SANCTIONS

On March 4, 2022, Governor Gavin Newsom issued Executive Order N-6-22 (the EO) regarding Economic Sanctions against Russia and Russian entities and individuals. "Economic Sanctions" refers to sanctions imposed by the U.S. government in response to Russia's actions in Ukraine, as well as any sanctions imposed under state law. The EO directs state agencies to terminate contracts with, and to refrain from entering any new contracts with, individuals or entities that are determined to be a target of Economic Sanctions. Accordingly, should the State determine Contractor is a target of Economic Sanctions or is conducting prohibited transactions with sanctioned individuals or entities, that shall be grounds for termination of this agreement. The State shall provide Contractor advance written notice of such termination, allowing Contractor at least 30 calendar days to provide a written response. Termination shall be at the sole discretion of the State.

EXHIBIT B: BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS**8. PROJECT BUDGET**

BUDGET CATEGORIES	A. GRANT FUNDS FOR PPA 1 (PUBLIC SAFETY/ ENFORCEMENT)	B. GRANT FUNDS FOR PPAs 2-4	TOTAL REQUESTED GRANT FUNDS (A+B)
1. Salaries and Benefits	\$5,788,888	\$0	\$5,788,888
2. Services and Supplies	\$159,256	\$0	\$159,256
3. Professional Services or Public Agency Contracts	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000
4. Non-Governmental Organization (NGO)	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Equipment/Fixed Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)	\$26,856	\$0	\$26,856
7. Indirect Costs		\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000

EXHIBIT C: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS (02/2025)

1. **APPROVAL:** This Agreement is of no force or effect until signed by both parties and approved by the Department of General Services, if required. Contractor may not commence performance until such approval has been obtained.
2. **AMENDMENT:** No amendment or variation of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless made in writing, signed by the parties and approved as required. No oral understanding or Agreement not incorporated in the Agreement is binding on any of the parties.
3. **ASSIGNMENT:** This Agreement is not assignable by the Contractor, either in whole or in part, without the consent of the State in the form of a formal written amendment.
4. **AUDIT:** Contractor agrees that the awarding department, the Department of General Services, the Bureau of State Audits, or their designated representative shall have the right to review and to copy any records and supporting documentation pertaining to the performance of this Agreement. Contractor agrees to maintain such records for possible audit for a minimum of three (3) years after final payment, unless a longer period of records retention is stipulated. Contractor agrees to allow the auditor(s) access to such records during normal business hours and to allow interviews of any employees who might reasonably have information related to such records. Further, Contractor agrees to include a similar right of the State to audit records and interview staff in any subcontract related to performance of this Agreement. (Gov. Code §8546.7, Pub. Contract Code §10115 et seq., CCR Title 2, Section 1896).
5. **INDEMNIFICATION:** Contractor agrees to indemnify, defend and save harmless the State, its officers, agents and employees from any and all claims and losses accruing or resulting to any and all contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, laborers, and any other person, firm or corporation furnishing or supplying work services, materials, or supplies in connection with the performance of this Agreement, and from any and all claims and losses accruing or resulting to any person, firm or corporation who may be injured or damaged by Contractor in the performance of this Agreement.
6. **DISPUTES:** Contractor shall continue with the responsibilities under this Agreement during any dispute.
7. **TERMINATION FOR CAUSE:** The State may terminate this Agreement and be relieved of any payments should the Contractor fail to perform the requirements of this Agreement at the time and in the manner herein provided. In the event of such termination the State may proceed with the work in any manner deemed proper by the State. All costs to the State shall be deducted from any sum due the Contractor under this Agreement and the balance, if any, shall be paid to the Contractor upon demand.
8. **INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR:** Contractor, and the agents and employees of Contractor, in the performance of this Agreement, shall act in an independent capacity and not as officers or employees or agents of the State.
9. **RECYCLING CERTIFICATION:** The Contractor shall certify in writing under penalty of perjury, the minimum, if not exact, percentage of post-consumer material as defined in the Public Contract Code Section 12200, in products, materials, goods, or supplies offered or sold to the State regardless of whether the product meets the requirements of Public Contract Code Section 12209. With respect to printer or duplication cartridges that comply with the requirements of Section 12156(e), the certification required by this subdivision shall specify that the cartridges so comply (Pub. Contract Code §12205).
10. **NON-DISCRIMINATION CLAUSE:** During the performance of this Agreement, Contractor and its subcontractors shall not deny the contract's benefits to any person on the basis of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic

EXHIBIT C: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS (02/2025)

information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, age, sexual orientation, or military and veteran status, nor shall they discriminate unlawfully against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, age, sexual orientation, or military and veteran status. Contractor shall insure that the evaluation and treatment of employees and applicants for employment are free of such discrimination. Contractor and subcontractors shall comply with the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Gov. Code §12900 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, §11000 et seq.), the provisions of Article 9.5, Chapter 1, Part 1, Division 3, Title 2 of the Government Code (Gov. Code §§11135-11139.5), and the regulations or standards adopted by the awarding state agency to implement such article. Contractor shall permit access by representatives of the Department of Fair Employment and Housing and the awarding state agency upon reasonable notice at any time during the normal business hours, but in no case less than 24 hours' notice, to such of its books, records, accounts, and all other sources of information and its facilities as said Department or Agency shall require to ascertain compliance with this clause. Contractor and its subcontractors shall give written notice of their obligations under this clause to labor organizations with which they have a collective bargaining or other agreement. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, §11105.)

Contractor shall include the nondiscrimination and compliance provisions of this clause in all subcontracts to perform work under the Agreement.

11. **CERTIFICATION CLAUSES:** The CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION CLAUSES contained in the document CCC 04/2017 are hereby incorporated by reference and made a part of this Agreement by this reference as if attached hereto.
12. **TIMELINESS:** Time is of the essence in this Agreement.
13. **COMPENSATION:** The consideration to be paid Contractor, as provided herein, shall be in compensation for all of Contractor's expenses incurred in the performance hereof, including travel, per diem, and taxes, unless otherwise expressly so provided.
14. **GOVERNING LAW:** This contract is governed by and shall be interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California.
15. **ANTITRUST CLAIMS:** The Contractor by signing this agreement hereby certifies that if these services or goods are obtained by means of a competitive bid, the Contractor shall comply with the requirements of the Government Codes Sections set out below.
 - A. The Government Code Chapter on Antitrust claims contains the following definitions:
 - 1) "Public purchase" means a purchase by means of competitive bids of goods, services, or materials by the State or any of its political subdivisions or public agencies on whose behalf the Attorney General may bring an action pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 16750 of the Business and Professions Code.
 - 2) "Public purchasing body" means the State or the subdivision or agency making a public purchase. Government Code Section 4550.
 - B. In submitting a bid to a public purchasing body, the bidder offers and agrees that if the bid is accepted, it will assign to the purchasing body all rights, title, and interest in and to all causes of action it may have under Section 4 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. Sec. 15) or under the Cartwright Act (Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 16700) of Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code), arising from purchases of goods, materials, or services by the bidder for sale to the purchasing body pursuant to the bid. Such assignment shall be made and become effective

EXHIBIT C: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS (02/2025)

at the time the purchasing body tenders final payment to the bidder. Government Code Section 4552.

- C. If an awarding body or public purchasing body receives, either through judgment or settlement, a monetary recovery for a cause of action assigned under this chapter, the assignor shall be entitled to receive reimbursement for actual legal costs incurred and may, upon demand, recover from the public body any portion of the recovery, including treble damages, attributable to overcharges that were paid by the assignor but were not paid by the public body as part of the bid price, less the expenses incurred in obtaining that portion of the recovery. Government Code Section 4553.
- D. Upon demand in writing by the assignor, the assignee shall, within one year from such demand, reassign the cause of action assigned under this part if the assignor has been or may have been injured by the violation of law for which the cause of action arose and (a) the assignee has not been injured thereby, or (b) the assignee declines to file a court action for the cause of action. See Government Code Section 4554.

16. CHILD SUPPORT COMPLIANCE ACT: For any Agreement in excess of \$100,000, the contractor acknowledges in accordance with Public Contract Code 7110, that:

- A. The Contractor recognizes the importance of child and family support obligations and shall fully comply with all applicable state and federal laws relating to child and family support enforcement, including, but not limited to, disclosure of information and compliance with earnings assignment orders, as provided in Chapter 8 (commencing with section 5200) of Part 5 of Division 9 of the Family Code; and
- B. The Contractor, to the best of its knowledge is fully complying with the earnings assignment orders of all employees and is providing the names of all new employees to the New Hire Registry maintained by the California Employment Development Department.

17. UNENFORCEABLE PROVISION: In the event that any provision of this Agreement is unenforceable or held to be unenforceable, then the parties agree that all other provisions of this Agreement have force and effect and shall not be affected thereby.

18. PRIORITY HIRING CONSIDERATIONS: If this Contract includes services in excess of \$200,000, the Contractor shall give priority consideration in filling vacancies in positions funded by the Contract to qualified recipients of aid under Welfare and Institutions Code Section 11200 in accordance with Pub. Contract Code §10353.

19. SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION AND DVBE PARTICIPATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:

- A. If for this Contract Contractor made a commitment to achieve small business participation, then Contractor must within 60 days of receiving final payment under this Contract (or within such other time period as may be specified elsewhere in this Contract) report to the awarding department the actual percentage of small business participation that was achieved. (Govt. Code § 14841.)
- B. If for this Contract Contractor made a commitment to achieve disabled veteran business enterprise (DVBE) participation, then Contractor must within 60 days of receiving final payment under this Contract (or within such other time period as may be specified elsewhere in this Contract) certify in a report to the awarding department: (1) the total amount the prime Contractor received under the Contract; (2) the name and address of the DVBE(s) that participated in the performance of the Contract; (3) the amount each DVBE received from the prime Contractor; (4) that all payments under the Contract have been made to the DVBE; and (5) the actual percentage of DVBE participation that was achieved. A person or entity that knowingly provides false

EXHIBIT C: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS (02/2025)

information shall be subject to a civil penalty for each violation. (Mil. & Vets. Code § 999.5(d); Govt. Code § 14841.)

- **20. LOSS LEADER:** If this contract involves the furnishing of equipment, materials, or supplies then the following statement is incorporated: It is unlawful for any person engaged in business within this state to sell or use any article or product as a "loss leader" as defined in Section 17030 of the Business and Professions Code. (PCC 10344(e).)

21. GENERATIVE AI DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS:

- A. The following terms are in addition to the defined terms and shall apply to the Contract:
 - 1) "Generative AI (GenAI)" means an artificial intelligence system that can generate derived synthetic content, including text, images, video, and audio that emulates the structure and characteristics of the system's training data. (Gov. Code § 11549.64.)
- B. Contractor shall immediately notify the State in writing if it: (1) intends to provide GenAI as a deliverable to the State; or (2), intends to utilize GenAI, including GenAI from third parties, to complete all or a portion of any deliverable that materially impacts: (i) functionality of a State system, (ii) risk to the State, or (iii) Contract performance. For avoidance of doubt, the term "materially impacts" shall have the meaning set forth in State Administrative Manual (SAM) § 4986.2 Definitions for GenAI.
- C. Notification shall be provided to the State designee identified in this Contract.
- D. At the direction of the State, Contractor shall discontinue the provision to the State of any previously unreported GenAI that results in a material impact to the functionality of the System, risk to the State, or Contract performance, as determined by the State.
- E. If the use of previously undisclosed GenAI is approved by the State, then Contractor will update the Deliverable description, and the Parties will amend the Contract accordingly, which may include incorporating the GenAI Special Provisions into the Contract, at no additional cost to the State.
- F. The State, at its sole discretion, may consider Contractor's failure to disclose or discontinue the provision or use of GenAI as described above, to constitute a material breach of Contract when such failure results in a material impact to the functionality of the System, risk to the State, or Contract performance. The State is entitled to seek any and all remedies available to it under law as a result of such breach, including but not limited to termination of the contract.

EXHIBIT D: SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS**1. GRANTEE'S GENERAL RESPONSIBILITY**

- A. Grantee agrees to comply with all terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement. Review and approval by the BSCC are solely for the purpose of proper administration of grant funds and shall not be deemed to relieve or restrict the Grantee's responsibility.
- B. Grantee is responsible for the performance of all project activities identified in Attachment 1: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Request for Proposals and Attachment 2: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Program Cohort 4 Application for Funding.
- C. Grantee shall immediately advise the BSCC of any significant problems or changes that arise during the course of the project.

2. GRANTEE ASSURANCES AND COMMITMENTS

- A. Compliance with Laws and Regulations
This Grant Agreement is governed by and shall be interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California. Grantee shall at all times comply with all applicable State laws, rules and regulations, and all applicable local ordinances.
- B. Fulfillment of Assurances and Declarations
Grantee shall fulfill all assurances, declarations, representations, and statements made by the Grantee in Attachment 1: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Request for Proposals and Attachment 2: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Program Cohort 4 Application for Funding, documents, amendments, approved modifications, and communications filed in support of its request for grant funds.
- C. Permits and Licenses
Grantee agrees to procure all permits and licenses necessary to complete the project, pay all charges and fees, and give all notices necessary or incidental to the due and lawful proceeding of the project work.

3. POTENTIAL SUBCONTRACTORS

- A. In accordance with the provisions of this Grant Agreement, the Grantee may subcontract for services needed to implement and/or support program activities. Grantee agrees that in the event of any inconsistency between this Grant Agreement and Grantee's agreement with a subcontractor, the language of this Grant Agreement will prevail.
- B. Nothing contained in this Grant Agreement or otherwise, shall create any contractual relation between the BSCC and any subcontractors, and no subcontract shall relieve the Grantee of his responsibilities and obligations hereunder. The Grantee agrees to be as fully responsible to the BSCC for the acts and omissions of its subcontractors and of persons either directly or indirectly employed by any of them as it is for the acts and omissions of persons directly employed by the Grantee. The Grantee's obligation to pay its subcontractors is an independent obligation from the BSCC's obligation to make payments to the Grantee. As a result, the BSCC shall have no obligation to pay or to enforce the payment of any moneys to any subcontractor.
- C. Grantee shall ensure that all subcontractors comply with the eligibility requirements stated in the [Grant Program Name] RFP and described in Appendix B.
- D. Grantee assures that for any subcontract awarded by the Grantee, such insurance and fidelity bonds, as is customary and appropriate, will be obtained.
- E. Grantee agrees to place appropriate language in all subcontracts for work on the project requiring the Grantee's subcontractors to:
 - 1) Books and Records

EXHIBIT D: SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Maintain adequate fiscal and project books, records, documents, and other evidence pertinent to the subcontractor's work on the project in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Adequate supporting documentation shall be maintained in such detail so as to permit tracing transactions from the invoices, to the accounting records, to the supporting documentation. These records shall be maintained for a minimum of three (3) years after the acceptance of the final grant project audit under the Grant Agreement and shall be subject to examination and/or audit by the BSCC or designees, state government auditors or designees, or by federal government auditors or designees.

2) Access to Books and Records

Make such books, records, supporting documentations, and other evidence available to the BSCC or designee, the State Controller's Office, the Department of General Services, the Department of Finance, California State Auditor, and their designated representatives during the course of the project and for a minimum of three (3) years after acceptance of the final grant project audit. The Subcontractor shall provide suitable facilities for access, monitoring, inspection, and copying of books and records related to the grant-funded project.

4. PROJECT ACCESS

Grantee shall ensure that the BSCC, or any authorized representative, will have suitable access to project activities, sites, staff and documents at all reasonable times during the grant period including those maintained by subcontractors. Access to program records will be made available by both the grantee and the subcontractors for a period of three (3) years following the end of the grant period.

5. ACCOUNTING AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

- A. Grantee agrees that accounting procedures for grant funds received pursuant to this Grant Agreement shall be in accordance with generally accepted government accounting principles and practices, and adequate supporting documentation shall be maintained in such detail as to provide an audit trail. Supporting documentation shall permit the tracing of transactions from such documents to relevant accounting records, financial reports and invoices.
- B. The BSCC reserves the right to call for a program or financial audit at any time between the execution of this Grant Agreement and three years following the end of the grant period. At any time, the BSCC may disallow all or part of the cost of the activity or action determined to not be in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement or take other remedies legally available.

6. DEBARMENT, FRAUD, THEFT OR EMBEZZLEMENT

It is the policy of the BSCC to protect grant funds from unreasonable risks of fraudulent, criminal, or other improper use. As such, the Board will not enter into contracts or provide reimbursement to grantees that have been:

- 1. debarred by any federal, state, or local government entities during the period of debarment; or
- 2. convicted of fraud, theft, or embezzlement of federal, state, or local government grant funds for a period of three years following conviction.

Furthermore, the BSCC requires grant recipients to provide an assurance that there has been no applicable debarment, disqualification, suspension, or removal from a federal, state or local grant program on the part of the grantee at the time of application and that the grantee will immediately notify the BSCC should such debarment or conviction occur during the term of the Grant contract.

EXHIBIT D: SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

BSCC also requires that all grant recipients include, as a condition of award to a subgrantee or subcontractor, a requirement that the subgrantee or subcontractor will provide the same assurances to the grant recipient. If a grant recipient wishes to consider a subgrantee or subcontractor that has been debarred or convicted, the grant recipient must submit a written request for exception to the BSCC along with supporting documentation.

All Grantees must have on file with the BSCC a completed and signed Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies on Debarment, Fraud, Theft and Embezzlement (Required as Attachment E of the original Proposal Package).

7. MODIFICATIONS

No change or modification in the project will be permitted without prior written approval from the BSCC. Changes may include modification to project scope, changes to performance measures, compliance with collection of data elements, and other significant changes in the budget or program components contained in Attachment 1: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Request for Proposals and Attachment 2: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Program Cohort 4 Application for Funding.

8. TERMINATION

- A. This Grant Agreement may be terminated by the BSCC at any time after grant award and prior to completion of project upon action or inaction by the Grantee that constitutes a material and substantial breach of this Grant Agreement. Such action or inaction includes but is not limited to:
- 1) substantial alteration of the scope of the grant project without prior written approval of the BSCC;
 - 2) refusal or inability to complete the grant project in a manner consistent with Attachment 1: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Request for Proposals and Attachment 2: Proposition 64 PH&S Grant Program Cohort 4 Application for Funding, or approved modifications;
 - 3) failure to provide the required local match share of the total project costs; and
 - 4) failure to meet prescribed assurances, commitments, recording, accounting, auditing, and reporting requirements of the Grant Agreement.
- B. Prior to terminating the Grant Agreement under this provision, the BSCC shall provide the Grantee at least 30 calendar days written notice stating the reasons for termination and effective date thereof. The Grantee may appeal the termination decision in accordance with the instructions listed in Exhibit D: Special Terms and Conditions, Number 8. Settlement of Disputes.

9. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

- A. The parties shall deal in good faith and attempt to resolve potential disputes informally. If the dispute persists, the Grantee shall submit to the BSCC Corrections Planning and Grant Programs Division Deputy Director a written demand for a final decision regarding the disposition of any dispute between the parties arising under, related to, or involving this Grant Agreement. Grantee's written demand shall be fully supported by factual information. The BSCC Corrections Planning and Grant Programs Division Deputy Director shall have 30 days after receipt of Grantee's written demand invoking this Section "Disputes" to render a written decision. If a written decision is not rendered within 30 days after receipt of the Grantee's demand, it shall be deemed a decision adverse to the Grantee's contention. If the Grantee is not satisfied with the decision of the BSCC Corrections Planning and Grant Programs Division Deputy Director, the Grantee may appeal the decision, in writing, within 15 days of its issuance (or the expiration of the 30-day period in the event no decision is rendered), to the BSCC Executive Director, who shall have 45 days to

EXHIBIT D: SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

render a final decision. If the Grantee does not appeal the decision of the BSCC Corrections Planning and Grant Programs Division Deputy Director, the decision shall be conclusive and binding regarding the dispute and the Contractor shall be barred from commencing an action in court, or with the Victims Compensation Government Claims Board, for failure to exhaust Grantee's administrative remedies.

- B. Pending the final resolution of any dispute arising under, related to or involving this Grant Agreement, Grantee agrees to diligently proceed with the performance of this Grant Agreement, including the providing of services in accordance with the Grant Agreement. Grantee's failure to diligently proceed in accordance with the State's instructions regarding this Grant Agreement shall be considered a material breach of this Grant Agreement.
- C. Any final decision of the State shall be expressly identified as such, shall be in writing, and shall be signed by the Executive Director, if an appeal was made. If the Executive Director fails to render a final decision within 45 days after receipt of the Grantee's appeal for a final decision, it shall be deemed a final decision adverse to the Grantee's contentions. The State's final decision shall be conclusive and binding regarding the dispute unless the Grantee commences an action in a court of competent jurisdiction to contest such decision within 90 days following the date of the final decision or one (1) year following the accrual of the cause of action, whichever is later.
- D. The dates of decision and appeal in this section may be modified by mutual consent, as applicable, excepting the time to commence an action in a court of competent jurisdiction.

10. UNION ACTIVITIES

For all agreements, except fixed price contracts of \$50,000 or less, the Grantee acknowledges that applicability of Government Code §§16645 through 16649 to this Grant Agreement and agrees to the following:

- A. No State funds received under the Grant Agreement will be used to assist, promote or deter union organizing.
- B. Grantee will not, for any business conducted under the Grant Agreement, use any State property to hold meetings with employees or supervisors, if the purpose of such meetings is to assist, promote or deter union organizing, unless the State property is equally available to the general public for holding meetings.
- C. If Grantee incurs costs or makes expenditures to assist, promote or deter union organizing, Grantee will maintain records sufficient to show that no reimbursement from State funds has been sought for these costs, and that Grantee shall provide those records to the Attorney General upon request.

11. WAIVER

The parties hereto may waive any of their rights under this Grant Agreement unless such waiver is contrary to law, provided that any such waiver shall be in writing and signed by the party making such waiver.

Title	City of Los Angeles	03/30/2026
	by Barbra Montesquieu in Proposition 64 Public Health & Safety Grant Program, Cohort 4- Request for Proposals	id. 53663664
	n3202@lapd.online	

Original Submission	03/30/2026
----------------------------	------------

Score

KEY INFORMATION

The Proposition 64 Public Health & Safety Grant Program, Cohort 4 Request for Proposals is organized into five (5) sections: Part I – Applicant Information Part II – Proposal Abstract Part III – Proposal Narrative Part IV – Project Budget (upload attachment) Part V – Attachments: Mandatory and Optional (upload attachments) Each section contains fields that require a response. Applicants may be prompted to provide written text, numerical input, select radial button options, or upload attachments. Some narrative response fields have character limits. When a character limit applies, a counter will display the total number of allowable characters and will update to show the number remaining as text is entered. Character limits include all letters, numbers, punctuation, and spaces. If the character limit is exceeded, a red prompt will appear stating: "You have exceeded the character limit." Applicants may start and stop their application at any time during the solicitation period. To ensure information is saved in the BSCC–Submittable Application, applicants must select "Save Draft" at the bottom of the application before exiting. Applicants are prohibited from submitting the Prop 64 Grant Program, Cohort 4 application until all mandatory fields (identified with a red asterisk) are completed, all character limits are met, and all required documents have been uploaded. Applicants should review the Prop 64 Cohort 4 RFP Instruction Packet before beginning the application. The Instruction Packet contains all information necessary to successfully complete and submit the Prop 64 Cohort 4 application. The document is available at: <http://www.bscc.ca.gov/proposition-64-public-health-safety-grant-program/>.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: All documents submitted as a part of the Proposition 64 Public Health & Safety Grant Program proposal are public documents and may be subject to a request pursuant to the California Public Records Act. The BSCC cannot ensure the confidentiality of any information submitted in or with this proposal. (Gov. Code, § 6250 et seq.)

PART I – APPLICANT INFORMATION

This section requires information about the applicant (County/City), grant funds being requested, proposed project synopsis and project officials.

Name of City or County Applicant

City of Los Angeles

Applicant Category

Large county or city (with more than 500,000 residents)

*Check this box if you are a city or county with a population under 10,000.

unchecked

Tax Identification Number

95-6000735

Lead Public Agency (LPA) Information

This sub-section requires information about the governmental agency with local authority of or within the applicant county or city that will be acting as the LPA. All Prop 64 grantees are required to designate a Lead Public Agency (LPA) to serve as the coordinator for all grant activities. The applicant may choose to fill the role of LPA itself or it may designate a department, agency, or office under its jurisdiction to serve as the LPA. The role of the LPA is to coordinate with local government agencies and non-governmental organizations (if applicable) to ensure successful implementation of the grant program. The LPA is responsible for data collection and management, overseeing evaluative activities, and will serve as the primary point of contact with the BSCC.

Name of Lead Public Agency (LPA)

Los Angeles Police Department

Project Title

LAPD: Prop 64 Enforcement

Project Summary

Following the adaptation of Proposition 64, the City of Los Angeles (City) enacted Measure M, establishing a comprehensive framework for the regulation, taxation, and enforcement of medical and recreational. Despite legalization, illegal businesses continue to operate posing direct threats to public safety, consumer health, and community trust. In 2023, the Los Angeles Police Department's cannabis enforcement operations resulted in 61,899 pounds of cannabis seized, 138 misdemeanor arrests, 32 felony arrest, and 34 firearms recovered. Under Proposition 64 PPA 1, the City will: Reduce illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations; Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations; Protect consumer health and legal market integrity. The grant funds will be used for enforcement and public safety operations, equipment, technology, data collection, and training; project activities will focus on enforcement and inter-agency collaboration.

Project Purpose Areas (PPAs)

PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement (Applicants that dedicate at least 70% of their budget to PPA 1 will earn priority points)

Applicant's Physical Address

100 West First Street
LOS ANGELES
California
90012-4112
US

Applicant's Mailing Address
(if different than physical address)

Mailing Address For Reimbursement Payments	LAPD, Fiscal Group, 100 West First Street, Room 774 Attn: Grants LOS ANGELES California 90012-4112 US
Project Director	Ahmad Zarekani
Project Director's Title	Captain, Commanding Officer, LAPD Gang and Narcotics Division
Project Director's Email Address	31205@lapd.online
Project Director's Phone Number	+12138333700
Financial Officer	Barbra Montesquieu
Financial Officer's Title	Sr Management Analyst
Financial Officer's Email Address	n3202@lapd.online
Financial Officer's Phone Number	+12134860380
Day-To-Day Program Contact	Michael Boylis
Day-To-Day Program Contact's Title and Agency/Department/Organization	Detective III/ LAPD - Gang and Narcotics Division
Day-To-Day Program Contact's Email Address	35250@lapd.online
Day-To-Day Program Contact's Phone Number	+12138333700
Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact	Barbra Montesquieu
Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact's Title with Agency/Department/Organization	Sr. Management Analyst
Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact's Email Address	n3202@lapd.online
Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact's Phone Number	+12134860380
Name of Authorized Officer*	Jim McDonnell
Authorized Officer's Title	Chief of Police
Authorized Officer's Email Address	grants2@lapd.online
Authorized Officer's Phone Number	+12134860150
I hereby certify I am vested by the Applicant with the authority to enter into contract with the BSCC, and the grantee and any subcontractors will abide by the laws, policies, and procedures governing this funding.	checked
PART II - PROPOSAL ABSTRACT	The Proposal Abstract should provide a brief summary of the proposed project. This section will not be included in the rating of the proposal.

PROPOSAL ABSTRACT

The City of Los Angeles (City) is the largest City in California with close to four million residents and covering about 469 square miles. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) is the third largest municipal police department in California, with over 8,600 sworn officers and over 2,500 civilians. The LAPD is divided into 21 geographical Areas to provide comprehensive public safety services to the City. Following the State of California's adaptation of Proposition 64, and the City's Measure M (ordinance that established a comprehensive framework for the regulation, taxation, and enforcement of medical and recreational marijuana within the City; including authorizing criminal penalties, nuisance abatement, increased civil fines and disconnection of water and power utilities for unauthorized cannabis activities;) the City's Department of Cannabis Regulation (DCR) was created and is the primary agency responsible for licensing, regulating, and enforcing local policies for legal commercial cannabis activity within the City. Enforcement against unlicensed cannabis businesses is handled by the LAPD, along with eligible complaints received through DCR.

The City has approximately 850 licensed cannabis retailers to include testing, distribution, non-volatile manufacturing, volatile manufacturing, cultivation, cultivation-processor, delivery, and retail. Despite legalization, illegal businesses continue to operate with little oversight, selling untested products, evading regulatory compliance, and undermining legitimate operators. These activities pose direct threats to public safety, consumer health, and community trust. In 2025, the LAPD's cannabis enforcement operations resulted in 61,899 pounds of cannabis seized, due to illegal cannabis activity as well as 138 misdemeanor arrests, 32 felony arrest, and 34 firearms recovered.

The LAPD is applying for the BSCC Proposition 64 Cohort 4: Public Health and Grant Program to address the persistent problem of unlicensed commercial cannabis operations the City. Under Proposition 64 PPA 1, the City will: Reduce illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations; Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations; Protect consumer health and legal market integrity. The grant funds will be used for enforcement and public safety operations, equipment, technology, data collection, and training; project activities will focus on enforcement and interagency collaboration.

PART III - PROPOSAL NARRATIVE

This section requires responses

Proposal Narrative Instructions

The Proposal Narrative includes four sections: Project Need, Project Description, Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination, and Project Evaluation. The character limits and page equivalents for each narrative section are outlined below and must be followed when preparing proposal materials. The Project Need narrative may not exceed 8,400 total characters. The Project Description narrative may not exceed 14,000 total characters. The Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination narrative may not exceed 5,600 total characters. The Project Evaluation narrative may not exceed 5,600 total characters.

Proposal Need

1.1 The City has approximately 850 licensed cannabis retailers to include testing, distribution, non-volatile manufacturing, volatile manufacturing, cultivation, cultivation-processor, delivery, and retail. Despite legalization, illegal businesses continue to operate with little oversight, selling untested products, evading regulatory compliance, and undermining legitimate operators. These activities pose direct threats to public safety, consumer health, and community trust. In 2025, the LAPD's cannabis enforcement operations resulted in 61,899 pounds of cannabis seized, due to illegal cannabis activity as well as 138 misdemeanor arrests, 32 felony arrest, and 34 firearms recovered.

The LAPD is applying for the BSCC Proposition 64 Cohort 4: Public Health and Grant Program to address the persistent problem of unlicensed commercial cannabis operations the City.

1.2 The LAPD will be applying under Project Purpose Area 1: Public Safety and Enforcement to enhance its capacity to conduct coordinated enforcement and public safety operations that address illegal cannabis cultivation, manufacturing, distribution, and retail activity, while protecting community health, consumer safety, and the integrity of the licensed cannabis market. The grant funds will be used to fund personnel for enforcement and public safety operations, equipment, technology, data collection, and training to increase current capacity to disrupt unlicensed cannabis operations, recover illegal products and firearms, and reduce associated criminal activity. Through focused enforcement, interagency collaboration, and community engagement, this initiative will reduce illegal market activity and foster compliance with the state's regulated system

1.3. The City's Cannabis Task Force, consisting of the following agencies, provides a coordinated, multi-City Department enforcement to include:

- DCR - manages complaints and verifies whether cannabis locations are licensed or unlicensed; unlicensed cases are referred to LAPD for enforcement.
- LAPD - executes enforcement of illegal cannabis retailers
- Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD)- addresses fire safety violations during search warrant
- Department of Water and Power (DWP) - shuts off the utilities of unlicensed cannabis businesses and investigates utility theft
- Department of Building and Safety (DBS) - enforces code violations and leads padlock and board-up operations when necessary
- City Attorney's Office - prosecutes cases

The Task Force employ progressive strategies to include Notice of Unauthorized Commercial Cannabis Activity; Disconnection of Water and Power; Criminal search warrant service and investigation; and padlock and board up of the premises

The enforcement operations fall under the LAPD's Gang and Narcotics Division (GND) and the LAPD's Areas. Each of LAPD's 21 Areas has a Narcotics and Enforcement Detail (NED), comprised of 2-6 sworn personnel, and is responsible to investigate every unlicensed cannabis complaint within their area of responsibility. The GND's Cannabis Support Unit (CSU), comprised of one sworn and one civilian personnel, provides operational oversight and support to the 21 Area NED. To maintain enforcement levels, the LAPD depends heavily on overtime. This ensures that search warrants and coordinated operations can be conducted in a timely manner. Without overtime, enforcement efforts would be significantly delayed, often by one to two weeks, creating an environment where illegal operators can quickly reopen and continue sales.

The City continues to experience an outsized share of illegal cannabis activity. Since its inception, the DCR has received more than 2,000 complaints regarding unlicensed commercial operations. Currently, the LAPD tracks 87 verified active unlicensed cannabis businesses Citywide. These operations undermine licensed dispensaries, fuel neighborhood nuisance complaints, and present safety risks to residents and consumers.

Service gaps contributing to the persistence of illegal activity is specifically tied to budget reductions and elimination of overtime funding for NED and CSU resulting in:

- Limited enforcement capacity resulting in the inability to respond to the total complaint volume, creating a perception of limited deterrence and weakening public confidence in the regulatory system;
- Reduced interagency coordination between responsible Departments due to decreased enforcement;

- Gaps in follow up enforcement, allowing shuttered illegal businesses to reopen;
 - Reduced capacity for data collection and trend analysis on illicit operations.
- 1.4 Quantitative. Data collected by CSU portrays the scale of illegal cannabis enforcement activity and the significant decline in enforcement outputs over the past five years due to resource constraints: in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22, the City budgeted 60,000 hours of overtime for cannabis enforcement; in FY 2024-25, the City budgeted 49,486 hours. With the current City budget deficit, these set aside overtime hours have been deleted.
- Cease and Desist Letters served decreased by 74.9% from 2021 (403) to 2025 (101)
 - Utility Disconnected in decreased by 46.6% from 2021 (311) to 2025 (166)
 - Search Warrants served decreased by 16.5% from 2021 (321) to 2025 (268)
 - Cannabis Seized decreased by 76.1% from 2021 (258,625 lbs.) to 2025 (61,899 lbs.)
 - Currency Seized decreased by 89.7% from 2021 (\$2,127,613) to 2025 (\$219,754)
 - Firearms Recovered decreased by 62.6% from 2021 (91) to 2025 (34)
 - Felony Arrests decreased by 89.4% from 2021 (302) to 2025 (32)
 - Misdemeanor Arrest decreased by 65.6% from 2021 (401) to 2025 (138)
- Additionally, currently, it takes 45 days to close out a complaint; with a 45-day extension.

Qualitative. Interviews and complaint data gathered by the DCR and LAPD illustrate that neighborhoods with recurring illegal cannabis storefronts report:

- Increased foot and vehicle traffic at odd hours;
- Drug related nuisance calls to LAPD divisions;
- Exposure of minors to unregulated cannabis advertising and secondary smoke;
- Minimal confidence that violations are being addressed.

Licensed businesses have also expressed economic harm due to unfair competition from unlicensed operators who evade taxes and safety requirements. These impacts are consistent with statewide findings from the BSCC noting that unauthorized cannabis markets disproportionately affect low income and minority neighborhoods.

1.5 The City conducted a multi-agency needs assessment in 2025 that included:

- Stakeholder consultations with the DCR, the City Attorney's Office, and community-based organizations.
- A review of DCR complaint logs and CSU enforcement data to identify geographic trends and service gaps.
- Community meetings in LAPD Areas with the highest complaint rates; where residents cited illegal operations as top public safety concerns.
- Survey input from licensed operators and business councils emphasizing the need for timely follow up enforcement.

This collective process confirmed that resource limitations remain the primary obstacle to sustained enforcement, with clear consensus among stakeholders that reinstating overtime and improving inter-agency coordination would produce immediate, measurable benefits.

The data and stakeholder input clearly establish that the City faces an enduring gap between regulatory intent and enforcement capacity. Declining enforcement actions have allowed illegal operators to persist, eroding public health protections and community safety. The proposed grant-funded project will directly address these gaps by restoring enforcement resources, strengthening interagency coordination, and improving the city's ability to protect legal operators and consumers alike fulfilling the core objectives of Proposition 64 and the BSCC Public Health and Safety Grant Program.

Project Description

2.1 Project Components. The LAPD is proposing to implement LAPD: Prop 64 Enforcement Program, a comprehensive, data driven enforcement and public safety initiative designed to suppress unlicensed commercial cannabis activity throughout the City of Los Angeles. The project directly supports Proposition 64, Project Purpose Area 1 (PPA 1): Public Safety/Enforcement.

The proposed project includes the following components

- The LAPD will investigate, plan, and execute search warrant operations when unlicensed businesses are active. Each case averages 276 personnel hours of investigative preparation, from complaint verification to evidence submission. Restoring overtime capacity will enable more warrants, padlock operations, and follow up actions against repeat offenders. The grant funding will enable the LAPD to work on approximately 163 cases over the performance period.
- The LAPD CSU will maintain the Cannabis Management System (CMS) - a shared database with the DCR - tracking every stage of cannabis enforcement, including Cease and Desist Letters, Padlock and Board ups, Search Warrants Served, Investigations Conducted, and Utility Disconnections CMS supports approximately 75 users and generates official statistics for the various stakeholders. The grant funding will support annual licensing for the duration of the performance period.
- The LAPD will share enforcement data and safety advisories with neighborhood councils and community-based partners through DCR's outreach network. Public briefings will improve transparency and reinforce awareness of the risks posed by unlicensed operators.

Operational Process. The LAPD cannabis enforcement process follow the a standardized, progressive enforcement process with the following activities:

1. Receive complaint via DCR portal or community referral.
2. Verify licensing status and location operation.
3. Issue Cease and Desist Letter.
4. If noncompliance persists, execute search warrant in coordination with the DWP for disconnection, DBS and LAFD will issue citations for any code violations.
5. For repeat offenders, conduct followup operations and coordinate padlock and board up actions with DBS and LAFD.

6. Refer cases: misdemeanor offenses to the City Attorney's Office and felony violations to the District Attorney's Office. The CMS track each action, is integrated into LAPD's chain of command, and has an accepted process for data entry to ensure comprehensive documentation and coordination between the different Areas.

Target Area. The proposed project will cover the entire City, focusing on LAPD Areas with verified concentrations of illegal cannabis activity; the largest concentration of illegal cannabis retailers is in 77th Area with 18 locations followed by Wilshire Area with 14 locations. There are also hundreds of illegal cannabis cultivation locations throughout the San Fernando Valley, which encompass seven Areas.

Population Served: The proposed project will cover the entire City with a population of close to four million residents.

Beneficiaries include:

- Residents exposed to crime, odor nuisances, and unsafe buildings;
- Consumers purchasing untested, contaminated products; and
- Licensed cannabis operators harmed by the unregulated market.

Enforcement activities are complaint driven, using DCR referrals, community tips, and intelligence analysis. During the performance period, approximately 250-300 illegal cannabis sites will be investigated or revisited annually, prioritizing those linked to community hazards or repeat violations.

2.2 Project Goals and Objectives. Below are the proposed project's three goals and respective objectives:

1. Reduce the number of illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations in operation in the City of Los Angeles.
 - a. Reduce and/or eliminate the number and activity of illegal cannabis dispensaries & unlicensed grows.
 - b. Increase compliance with licensed cannabis regulations.

c. Reduce organized crime associated with illegal grows.

II. Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations.

- a. Coordinate High Visibility Operation with respective LAPD Areas
- b. Maintain Firearms Tracking
- c. Monitor gang-related crime near the locations

III. Protect consumer health and legal market integrity.

- a. Coordinate with DCR and California Dept of Cannabis Control for license verifications
- b. Removal of unsafe products from circulation

Impact. Projected Impacts at the end of the grant period.

- 20 % increase in warrants served over 2025 levels.
- 40 % reduction in verified unlicensed storefronts.
- 30% reduction in response times to complaints and follow
- Increase in consumer safety and community confidence in legal cannabis system.

2.3 Research by the California Department of Cannabis Control (2023) and RAND Corporation (2022) confirms that consistent, coordinated enforcement combined with administrative closure measures (e.g., padlocking and utility disconnections) is among the most effective methods for reducing unlicensed cannabis activity. These evidence-based practices are already embedded in LAPD's progressive enforcement model and are now limited only by resource capacity. National Institute of Justice analyses of municipal enforcement initiatives demonstrate that targeted deployments and inter agency task forces reduce criminal opportunism and enhance compliance when paired with transparent data reporting. The proposed program extends these proven strategies, ensuring decisions are guided by current CMS data rather than anecdotal complaints.

2.4 The proposed program will leverage and maximizes existing City staffing and infrastructures; rather than creating new ones.

- Personnel: Existing LAPD detectives and officers will perform all enforcement activities; grant funds will provide additional personnel hours by funding overtime hours. This will enable the LAPD to quickly respond to complaints and/or conduct follow up search warrants.
- Systems: The CMS database, established infrastructure within the DCR, and existing joint agency protocols with DWP, DBS, LAFD, and the City Attorney's Office will be maintained.
- Facilities: Operations will utilize current LAPD Areas, Motor Transport Division resources, and evidence storage facilities —no new capital expenses.
- Inter-agency Partnerships: The proposed program leverages ongoing collaborations with DCC, CDTFA, and the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, expanding coordination instead of duplicating efforts.

Because all necessary command structure, policy framework, and inter agency agreements are already in place, Prop 64 funding will directly translate into measurable increases in operational productivity, enforcement frequency, and data transparency.

Through the reinstatement of cannabis specific overtime, maintenance of the CMS, and reinforcement of inter-agency partnerships, the LAPD will substantially enhance the City's capacity to enforce cannabis regulations, safeguard consumer health, and protect community wellbeing. The project squarely advances the intent of Prop 64 by reinforcing the regulated market, supporting

3.1 Program Management: The LAPD GND is comprised 120 sworn and civilian personnel and is aimed to disrupt violent street gangs and the means by which they support their lifestyle, including the manufacture, transportation, sale and use of illicit drugs, and sales of firearms in the greater Los Angeles area. Within GND, there are 40 officers who manage complex or City-wide cases involving fortified dispensaries, large scale cultivations, and butane honey oil (BHO) laboratories. Each of the 21 Area NED comprises of two to five detectives and officers experienced in surveillance, undercover operations, and search warrant service. Combined, more than 200 sworn personnel are available to investigate unlicensed cannabis businesses. Most are court-qualified narcotics experts with certifications in evidence handling, hazardous site entry, and cannabis specific enforcement.

Over the past five years, 2021-2025, the LAPD has averaged approximately 360-cannabis related search warrants annually. Current funding constraints reduced output to 268 in 2025, underscoring the immediate need and LAPD's proven ability to expand production when resources become available.

Additionally, the LAPD has institutionalized its crime data collection through the CompStat Division. The proposed grant program can tap into this infrastructure for crime statistics that could be used in the planning and evaluation of the grant program.

Grant Management: LAPD will provide fiscal and administrative oversight over the grant award. The LAPD Grants Section manages over 35 active federal and state grants, including a \$16.5 million grant award for the BSCC Organized Retail Theft Grant. A grants analyst will be assigned to manage the administrative aspects of the grant, including preparing and submitting financial and progress reports and ensuring all grant requirements are met and expenditures follow both the City and BSCC financial policies and guidelines.

Project Personnel.

- Captain III Ahmad Zarekani is the commanding officer of GND and will serve as Program Director, providing the overall direction of the grant program. Captain Zarekani is a 32-year LAPD veteran and has been the commanding officer of GND since 2023.
- Detective III Michael Boylls is assigned to the CSU and will serve as the Project Manager, responsible for day-to-day operations, including coordinating with NED supervisors Citywide to synchronize tactical schedules and resource allocations. Detective Boylls will host monthly coordination meetings with all Area Narcotics Supervisors, reviewing enforcement metrics, budget utilization, and progress toward stated goals. Quarterly performance reports will be prepared for command review and BSCC submission.
- Crime Intelligence Analyst Darlene Dizon-Tolentino is assigned to the CSU and will be responsible in the management of the CMS to ensure all statistics are inputted and reported by the NEDs; and complaint tracking through DCR's portal. Ms. Dizon-Tolentino possesses more than a decade of crime data collection and analysis experience including compiling reports for the LAPD's Chief of Police, Mayor's Office, and DCR, and is knowledgeable with Prop 64 reporting standards.
- TBD Grant Analyst: The LAPD Grants Section will assign one grant analyst for the Prop 65 grant administration.

3.2 The LAPD is an active member of the of the City's Cannabis Task Force and will continue to do so during the grant performance period. During constraint funding, the LAPD was not able to hold recurring meetings to discuss enforcements but will do so during the performance period. Any best practices incurred and lessons learned during the operation of the proposed program will be sustained within the task force activities beyond the grant performance period.

3.3 Timeline. The proposed timeline is as follows:

July – December 2026 – Planning Phase: The first six months will be spent on:

- The LAPD Grant Section will secure grant award review and approval by the City Council and Mayor
- The Grant Section will submit required financial reports.
- The CSU and Grants Section will coordinate and submit the Local Evaluation Report.
- The CSU will complete and seek BSCC approval for the Local Evaluation Plan; determine quantitative and qualitative measures.
- The CSU will meet with the 21 NEDs to plan and coordinate the grant program activities
- The CSU will meet with the City's Cannabis Task Force to plan and coordinate the grant program activities.

January 2027 – June 2031 – Implementation Phase: The next 54 months will be spent on:

- The CSU will implement grant-funded cannabis enforcement
- The CSU will implement gathering required data for grant reporting
- The CSU and Grants Section will initiate and complete the purchase and deploy the grant-funded supplies and software
- The Grants Section will coordinate the contracting efforts for an independent auditor and will execute the contract
- The Grant Section will submit required financial reports
- The CSU and Grants Section will coordinate and submit the Local Evaluation Reports.
- The CSU will complete eight proposed grant-funded training
- The CSU will continue to meet with the City's Cannabis Task Force to plan and coordinate the grant program activities.

July - December 2031 – The next six months will be spent on:

- The CSU will complete the Final Evaluation Report
- The contracted auditor will complete the financial audit

4.1 The CSU will be responsible for the project evaluation. The CSU, in coordination with the Grants Section and the City Cannabis Task Force, will complete the Evaluation Plan and Local Evaluation Report. Quarterly progress reports will be submitted by the LAPD's Grants Section.

The CSU maintains and manages the CMS, the City's centralized, shared database for the City's Cannabis enforcement operations, and has served as the LAPD's central data and analytics section for all cannabis related enforcement since 2019. The CSU employs a crime intelligence analyst who is responsible in managing CMS operations for five years. The crime intelligence analyst will serve as the project evaluator.

Monitoring Activities Throughout the Project Phases.

- **Start Up Phase:** During the first six months, the LAPD, working with BSCC and the City Cannabis Task Force, will determine the quantitative and qualitative data that will be gathered during the grant performance period. Baseline enforcement metrics (eg number of cease and desist letters, warrants, arrests, and seizures 2021–2022) will serve as comparison benchmarks. Following the identification, the LAPD will determine the data collection process/protocols and will validate CMS (and any other database identified) user access.
- **Implementation / Service Delivery Period:** The LAPD will follow the process/protocols identified. Proposed process would most likely include each NED Supervisor will input enforcement data into CMS within 72 hours of enforcement operation. The CSU evaluator will audit entries monthly for accuracy and completeness.
- **Ongoing Monitoring:** The Project Manager will convene with NED Supervisors to track progress against Work Plan targets—search warrants served, illegal locations closed, and firearms recovered.
- **End of Period Evaluation:** The Final Report will summarize outcome attainment, identify lessons learned, and recommend policy improvements. The Final Report is not only a compliance requirement but a core management tool for the LAPD. Findings will guide future allocation of overtime for safety and market stabilization benefits that Proposition 64 funding provides.

4.2 Data Collection and Outcome Measurement

Data Systems and Sources. All project metrics will be drawn from CMS, which tags each enforcement action by location, bureau, case number, and operation type. The primary datasets include the following.

- Number of verified unlicensed cannabis locations
- Cease and desist letters issued
- Utility disconnects executed through LADWP coordination
- Search warrants served and follow up operations conducted
- Cannabis and currency seized (weight and value)
- Firearms recovered and criminal arrests made
- Padlock and board up closures with DBS confirmation
- Joint task force operations with state or federal partners

The DCR will receive a complaint through their web portal. DCR will verify if the location is legal or illegal; if illegal, a complaint will be sent to the respective LAPD Area NED through the CMS portal. The respective Area NED will then investigate the complaint, and any enforcement action will be recorded in the CMS system. When the location is permanently shut down, that respective complaint in CMS will be closed.

The LAPD grant personnel will also be tracking qualitative data by documenting the following data in the neighborhoods with recurring illegal cannabis storefronts:

- Drug related nuisance calls to LAPD divisions;
- Other environmental factors that the BSCC and the City Cannabis Task Force will deem appropriate for this project.

Outcome Measures. Below are the proposed outcome indicators for the goals:

Goal 1 : Reduce the number of illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations in operation in the City of Los Angeles.

Outcome Indicators: Quantitative comparison of illegal cannabis dispensaries that have closed, investigations started/closed, search warrants, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.

Goal 2: Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations.

Outcome Indicators: Quantitative comparison of crime data around illegal locations, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.

Goal 3. Protect consumer health and legal market integrity.

Outcome Indicators: Quantitative comparison of illegal cannabis seized, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.

Data Quality Assurance. The CSU will validate entries through random spot checks every quarter.

PART IV: PROJECT BUDGET ATTACHMENT

The Budget Attachment must be filled out completely and accurately. Applicants are solely responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the information entered in the Budget Attachment. All project costs must be directly related to the objectives and activities of the project, demonstrating how the funds will be used to address the local needs due to the impact of legalizing cannabis in California. The Budget Table must cover the entire 66-month grant period. For additional guidance related to allowable uses of grant funds, refer to pages 8-15 of the Proposition 64 Request for Proposals (RFP).

Project Budget Attachment

LAPD_Prop-64-PHS-Cohort-4-Budget-Attachment.xlsx

PART V - ATTACHMENTS: MANDATORY AND OPTIONAL

In addition to the Budget Attachment, the list of mandatory attachments include: Project Work Plan Letter of Eligibility Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies on Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Funds The Governing Board Resolution attachment upload is optional at Application submission. However, it will be required if the project is awarded Prop 64 Cohort 4 Grant Funds. These documents are stand-alone documents available on the BSCC Proposition 64 Homepage:

<http://www.bscc.ca.gov/proposition-64-public-health-safety-grant-program/>. Download, complete, and upload where prompted below.

PROJECT WORK PLAN

LAPD_Prop-64-Project-Work-Plan-Appendix-G_GS.pdf

Letter of Eligibility

LAPD_Prop_64_Letter_of_Eligibility.pdf

Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies on Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement

LAPD_Prop_64_Certificate_of_Compliance.pdf

Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Funds as a Subcontractor

Governing Board Resolution
(Optional)

Prop 64 PH&S Grant Project Work Plan

Complete this required document. You will be prompted to upload this attachment to the BSCC Submittable Application.

Instructions: Applicants must complete a Project Work Plan using the format below. Provided goals and objectives must have a clear relationship to the need and intent of the grant. The Project Work Plan must attempt to identify activities/services and estimate timelines for the entire grant term. The plan must identify the top three goals and corresponding objectives, with a minimum of one goal pertaining to each identified PPA. Completed plans should identify:

1. the project's top goals and objectives.
2. how the goal(s) will be achieved in terms of the activities/services, responsible staff/partners, and the timelines.
3. a list of the data elements to be collected.

Name of City or County Applicant:	City of Los Angeles – Los Angeles Police Department		
(1) Goal:	Reduce the number of illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations in operation in the City of Los Angeles.		
Objectives (A., B., etc.)	A.> Reduce and/or eliminate the number and activity of illegal cannabis dispensaries & unlicensed grows. B.> Increase compliance with licensed cannabis regulations. C.> Reduce organized crime associated with illegal grows.		
Process Measures and Outcome Measures:	Quantitative comparison of illegal cannabis dispensaries that have closed, investigations started/closed, search warrants, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.		
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/partners:	Timeline	
1.Enforcement Activities 2.Data Collection and Analysis 3.Interagency Coordination	1.LAPD CSU, NED 2.LAPD CSU, DCR 3.LAPD	Start Date 1. 01/01/2027 2.01/01/2027 3. 07/01/2026	End Date 1. 06/30/2031 2. 12/31/2031 3. 06/30/2031
List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: Enforcement data and statistics.			

(2) Goal:	> Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations..			
Objectives (A., B., etc.)	A.> Coordinate High Visibility Operation with Patrol Divisions B.> Maintain Firearms Tracking C.> Monitor gang related crime near the locations			
Process Measures and Outcome Measures:	> Quantitative comparison of crime date around illegal locations, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.			
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/partners:	Timeline		
		Start Date	End Date	
1. Enforcement Activities 2.Data Collection and Analysis	1.LAPD CSU, NED 2. LAPD CSU	1. 01/01/2027 2.01/01/2027	1. 06/30/2031 2. 12/30/2031	
List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: Enforcement data and statistics.				

(3) Goal:	> Protect consumer health and legal market integrity.			
Objectives (A., B., etc.)	A.> Coordinate with DCR and California Dept of Cannabis Control for license verifications B.> Removal of unsafe products from circulation			
Process Measures and Outcome Measures:	Quantitative comparison of illegal cannabis seized, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.			
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/partners:	Timeline		
		Start Date	End Date	
1.Interagency meeting and collaboration to identify and implement/update Task Force processes 2.Enforcement Activities	1 LAPD CSU, DCR 2.LAPD CDU, NED	1.06/30/2026	1.06/30/2031	
		2. 01/01/2027	2. 06/30/2031	

List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: Enforcement data and statistics.

2026 Proposition 64 Public Health & Safety Grant Program - Proposal Budget and Budget Narrative

Name of City or County Applicant: City of Los Angeles - Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Term: July 1, 2026 through December 31, 2031

Note: Budget Categories 1 - 7 will auto-populate based on the information entered in the sections below.
Do not alter or recreate this budget template. Applicants that do not use the BSCC Budget Template will be disqualified.

Budget Category	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
1. Salaries and Benefits	\$5,788,888	\$0	\$5,788,888
2. Services and Supplies	\$159,256	\$0	\$159,256
3. Professional Services or Public Agency Subcontracts	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000
4. Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Subcontracts	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Equipment/Fixed Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)	\$26,856	\$0	\$26,856
7. Indirect Costs (For PPAs 1 through 4)	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000
Percentage of Grant Funds Dedicated to PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement (excludes amount budgeted for Indirect Costs)	100.00%		

1a. Salaries and Benefits

Position Title	(Show as either % FTE or Hourly Rate) & Benefits	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
Overtime for Sworn Personnel	\$123.60 x 44991 hours	\$5,560,888	\$0	\$5,560,888
Overtime for Civilian Personnel	\$95/hour x 2400 hours	\$228,000	\$0	\$228,000
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$5,788,888	\$0	\$5,788,888

1b. Salaries and Benefits Narrative: Provide a brief description for each position that addresses their role on the grant project.

Sworn Overtime. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) will be allocating majority of the proposed budget for overtime hours doing direct enforcement activities for unlicensed dispensaries. A typical enforcement for unlicensed dispensary would average 276 work hours between 12 personnel assigned to the case; 120 hours for surveillance, 96 hours for search warrants (briefing, staging, service, debrief), 28 hours for arrests (booking, reports/administrative), and 12 hours for court time. Assigned personnel is at the rank of Detective 3, Detective 2, Detective 1, Police Officer 3 and Police Officer 2. The average overtime rate for these personnel is \$113.41 plus fringe benefits related to overtime of 8.99%, \$123.60. The average cost for a typical enforcement for an unlicensed dispensary is \$34,116.36. The LAPD targets to have 163 enforcement operations throughout the grant period for a total of \$5,560,888.

The Fringe Benefits rate for overtime is 8.99% based on the federally-approved CAP 42 rate. Benefits include: 1.39% Medicare, 7.60% Worker's Comp, 0.01% Unemployment, and -0.01% Share of Carry Forward.

Civilian Overtime. The LAPD will be allocating for overtime hours for data collection and reporting requirements. These activities will largely be done by the Crime Intelligence Analyst from the LAPD Cannabis Support Unit, the assigned grant analyst, and from the analyst(s) within LAPD CompStat Division for other crime statistics needed to support the analysis of this program. It is estimated that 40 hours of overtime will be expended each month for the duration of the performance period. The average overtime hours for these personnel is \$90.35 plus fringe benefits related to overtime of 5.15%, \$95. The total budgeted cost for 5 years is \$228,000.

The Fringe Benefits rate for overtime is 5.15% based on the federally-approved CAP 42 rate. Benefits include: 1.38% Medicare, 3.61% Worker's Comp, 0.01% Unemployment, and 0.15% Share of Carry Forward.

2a. Services and Supplies

Description of Services or Supplies	Calculation for Expenditure	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
Software subscription	\$30,650 annual subscription x 5 years	\$153,250	\$0	\$153,250
Laptop	\$2002 each x 3	\$6,006	\$0	\$6,006
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$159,256	\$0	\$159,256

2b. Services and Supplies Narrative: Provide a brief description for each item that explains how it will be used toward fulfilling grant objectives.

Subscription Software. This line item is a part of the data collection budget/allocation. The LAPD maintains and manages a centralized Cannabis Management Software to track every stage of cannabis enforcement, including Number of verified unlicensed cannabis locations, cease and desist letters issued, Utility disconnects executed through LADWP coordination, Search warrants served and follow up operations conducted, Cannabis and currency seized (weight and value), Firearms recovered and criminal arrests made, Padlock and board up closures with DBS confirmation, Joint task force operations with state or federal partners. CMS will support approximately 75 users and generates official statistics for the various stakeholders. The grant funding will support annual licensing for the duration of the performance period.

Laptops. The LAPD is requesting to purchase three laptops to support the grant program activities.

Description of Professional Service(s)	Calculation for Expenditure	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
Independent Financial Auditor Contract	\$25,000 x 1	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000

The City of LA has procured an external accounting firm that conducts the federally-required Single Audit on federal funded grants and other programs. The LAPD is allocating \$25,000 to use the external accounting firm to conduct a financial audit on the grant and submit an audit report.

Description of Subcontracts	Calculation for Expenditure	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0

Enter narrative here. You may expand row height if needed.

Description of Equipment/Fixed Assets	Calculation for Expense	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0

Enter narrative here. You may expand row height if needed.

6a. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)

Description of Other (Travel, Training, etc.)	Calculation for Expense	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
Cannabis Enforcement Summit	\$1,407 per person x 2 personnel x 3 events	\$8,442	\$0	\$8,442
California Narcotic Officers Association (CNOA) Annual Training (or similar)	\$3,069 per person x 2 personnel x 3 events	\$18,414	\$0	\$18,414
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$26,856	\$0	\$26,856

6b. Other (Travel, Training, etc.) Narrative: Provide a brief explanation for how each item listed above will contribute toward fulfilling grant objectives. Please budget for at least one 2-day trip to Sacramento for 3-5 key grant team members.

Cannabis Enforcement Summit: The LAPD is proposing to send 2 program personnel to the 3-day Cannabis Enforcement Summit annually for Years 2, 3, and 4. The Summit is projected to include the following topics: Code Enforcement, Intelligence Trends and Resources, Major Cannabis Case Debriefs, Labor Trafficking, and Cannabis Legal Update. Below is the breakdown of expenses. GSA Rate for Sacramento is used for budget purposes.
Registration: \$300 per person x 2 personnel x 3 events = 1,800
Lodging: \$180 per night x 2 personnel x 4 nights x 3 events = \$4,320
Per Diem/Meals: \$86 per day x 2 personnel x 4.5 days x 3 events = \$2,322
California Narcotic Officers Association (CNOA) Annual Training or similar regional conference/coordination meeting: The LAPD is proposing to send 2 program personnel to annual CNOA training for Years 2, 3, and 4. The training/conference is projected to consist of multiple workshops for the LAPD to learn best practices and lessons learned in the field of narcotics. Below is the breakdown of expenses. GSA rates for San Diego is used for budget purposes.
Registration: \$800 per person x 2 personnel x 3 events = 4,800
Lodging: \$285 per night x 2 personnel x 6 nights x 3 events = \$10,260
Per Diem/Meals: \$86 per day x 2 personnel x 6.5 days x 3 events = \$3,354
State Travel Policies will apply on actual expenditures.

7a. Indirect Costs

For this grant program, indirect costs may be charged using only <u>one</u> of the two options below:	Grant Funds	Total Requested Grant Funds
1) Indirect costs not to exceed 15 percent (15%) of all grant-funded costs, excluding equipment and fixed assets . Applicable if the applicant does not have a federally approved indirect cost rate.	\$0	\$0
<i>If using Option 1) grant funds allocated to Indirect Costs may not exceed</i>	<i>\$900,000</i>	
2) Indirect costs not to exceed 20 percent (20%) of all grant-funded costs, excluding equipment and fixed assets . Applicable if the applicant has a federally approved indirect cost rate. Amount claimed may not exceed the applicant's federally approved indirect cost rate.	\$0	\$0
<i>If using Option 2) grant funds allocated to Indirect Costs may not exceed</i>	<i>\$1,200,000</i>	
Please see Instructions tab for additional information regarding Indirect Costs. If the amount exceeds the maximum allowed and/or turns red , please adjust it to not exceed the line-item noted.	\$0	\$0

7b. Indirect Costs Narrative:

Enter narrative here. You may expand row height if needed. If using a federally approved indirect cost rate, please include the rate in the narrative.

Appendix A:
PROPOSITION 64 PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY GRANT PROGRAM
SCORING PANEL ROSTER

Name	Title	Organization/Agency
Ella Ban	Environmental Scientist	California State Water Resources Control Board
Jose Barajas	Assistant Branch Chief	California Department of Cannabis Control
Jacqueline Campion	Deputy Director, Policy & Research	California Department of Cannabis Control
Brittany Falcon	Program Analyst II	Board of State and Community Corrections
Jason Grundel	Deputy State Public Defender	State of California, Office of the State Public Defender
Jim Keddy	Executive Director	Youth Forward
Colin Medigovich	Strategic Intelligence Analyst	California Governor's Office of Emergency Services
Nikkole Melgoza	Office of Program Operations	California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
Nancy O'Malley	District Attorney (Ret.)	Alameda County
Douglas Roehler	Staff Services Manager I	California Department of Cannabis Control
Killi Seto	Deputy Lieutenant	San Joaquin County Sheriff's Office
Douglas Smurr	Attorney IV / Assistant General Counsel	California Department of Cannabis Control
Doug Snell	Correctional Lieutenant (Ret.)	California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
George Tiongson	Sergeant	California Department of Cannabis Control
Kellie Vasen	Attorney IV	California Department of Cannabis Control

**APPENDIX B:
CRITERIA AND ASSURANCE FOR NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS
THAT RECEIVE BSCC GRANT FUNDS AS A SUBCONTRACTOR**

The Proposition 64 Public Health and Safety (Prop 64 PH&S) Grant Program, Cohort 4, includes requirements that apply to non-governmental organizations **that receive BSCC grant funds as subcontractors**. Grantees are responsible for ensuring that all subcontracted third parties continually meet these requirements as a condition of receiving any Prop 64 PH&S grant funds. These requirements are as follows.

Any non-governmental organization that receives **Prop 64 PH&S grant funds** must:

- Have been duly organized, in existence, and in good standing at least six (6) months prior to the start date of the Grantee's Grant Agreement with BSCC.

Note: Non-governmental organizations that have recently reorganized or have merged with other qualified non-governmental organizations that were in existence prior to the six (6) month date are also eligible, provided all necessary agreements have been executed and filed with the California Secretary of State prior to the start date of the applicant's Grant Agreement with BSCC.

- Be registered with the California Secretary of State's Office, if applicable.
- Have a valid business license, Employer Identification Number (EIN), and/or Taxpayer ID (if sole proprietorship).
- Have any other state or local licenses or certifications necessary to provide the services requested (e.g., facility licensing by the Department of Health Care Services), if applicable.

In the table below, provide the name of the Grantee and list all subcontracted third parties.

Name of Grantee:			
Name of Subcontracted Third Party	Address	Email / Phone	Meets All Requirements
			Yes ☐ No ☐
			Yes ☐ No ☐
			Yes ☐ No ☐
			Yes ☐ No ☐

See next page for signature block.

**APPENDIX B:
CRITERIA AND ASSURANCE FOR NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS
THAT RECEIVE BSCC GRANT FUNDS AS A SUBCONTRACTOR**

Grantees are required to update this list and submit it to BSCC any time a new third-party subcontract with an NGO is executed after the initial assurance date. Grantees shall retain (on site) applicable source documentation for each subcontracted party that verifies compliance with the requirements listed in the **Prop 64 PH&S RFP**. These records will be subject to the records and retention language found in the Standard Agreement.

Unless prior approval is obtained, the BSCC prohibits disbursement or reimbursement to any NGO that does not meet the requirements listed above and for which the BSCC does not have a signed Criteria and Assurance form on file.

A signature below is an assurance that all requirements listed above have been met.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

(This document must be signed by the person who is authorized to sign the Grant Agreement.)

NAME OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	TITLE	TELEPHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
STREET ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE (verified e-signature is acceptable) X			DATE

1. Section 1. Project Need – 8400 Characters.

The City of Los Angeles (City) is the largest City in California with close to four million residents and covering about 469 square miles. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) is the third largest municipal police department in California, with over 8,600 sworn officers and over 2,500 civilians. The LAPD is divided into 21 geographical Areas to provide comprehensive public safety services to the City. Following the State of California's adaptation of Proposition 64, and the City's Measure M (ordinance that established a comprehensive framework for the regulation, taxation, and enforcement of medical and recreational marijuana within the City; including authorizing criminal penalties, nuisance abatement, increased civil fines and disconnection of water and power utilities for unauthorized cannabis activities;) the City's Department of Cannabis Regulation (DCR) was created and is the primary agency responsible for licensing, regulating, and enforcing local policies for legal commercial cannabis activity within the City. Enforcement against unlicensed cannabis businesses is handled by the LAPD, along with eligible complaints received through DCR.

1.1 The City has approximately 850 licensed cannabis retailers to include testing, distribution, non-volatile manufacturing, volatile manufacturing, cultivation, cultivation-processor, delivery, and retail. Despite legalization, illegal businesses continue to operate with little oversight, selling untested products, evading regulatory compliance, and undermining legitimate operators. These activities pose direct threats to public safety, consumer health, and community trust. In 2025, the LAPD's cannabis enforcement operations resulted in 61,899 pounds of cannabis seized, due to illegal cannabis activity, as well as 138 misdemeanor arrests, 32 felony arrest, and 34 firearms recovered.

The LAPD is applying for the BSCC Proposition 64 Cohort 4: Public Health and Grant Program to address the persistent problem of unlicensed commercial cannabis operations the City.

1.2 The LAPD will be applying under Project Purpose Area 1: Public Safety and Enforcement to enhance its capacity to conduct coordinated enforcement and public

safety operations that address illegal cannabis cultivation, manufacturing, distribution, and retail activity, while protecting community health, consumer safety, and the integrity of the licensed cannabis market. The grant funds will be used to fund personnel for enforcement and public safety operations, equipment, technology, data collection, and training to increase current capacity to disrupt unlicensed cannabis operations, recover illegal products and firearms, and reduce associated criminal activity. Through focused enforcement, interagency collaboration, and community engagement, this initiative will reduce illegal market activity and foster compliance with the state's regulated system

1.3. The City's Cannabis Task Force, consisting of the following agencies, provides a coordinated, multi-City Department enforcement to include:

- DCR - manages complaints and verifies whether cannabis locations are licensed or unlicensed; unlicensed cases are referred to LAPD for enforcement.
- LAPD - executes enforcement of illegal cannabis retailers
- Los Angeles Fire Department (LAFD)- addresses fire safety violations during search warrant
- Department of Water and Power (DWP) - shuts off the utilities of unlicensed cannabis businesses and investigates utility theft
- Department of Building and Safety (DBS) - enforces code violations and leads padlock and board-up operations when necessary
- City Attorney's Office - prosecutes cases

The Task Force employ progressive strategies to include Notice of Unauthorized Commercial Cannabis Activity; Disconnection of Water and Power; Criminal search warrant service and investigation; and padlock and board up of the premises

The enforcement operations fall under the LAPD's Gang and Narcotics Division (GND) and the LAPD's Areas. Each of LAPD's 21 Areas has a Narcotics and Enforcement Detail (NED), comprised of 2-6 sworn personnel, and is responsible to

investigate every unlicensed cannabis complaint within their area of responsibility. The GND's Cannabis Support Unit (CSU), comprised of one sworn and one civilian personnel, provides operational oversight and support to the 21 Area NED. To maintain enforcement levels, the LAPD depends heavily on overtime. This ensures that search warrants and coordinated operations can be conducted in a timely manner. Without overtime, enforcement efforts would be significantly delayed, often by one to two weeks, creating an environment where illegal operators can quickly reopen and continue sales.

The City continues to experience an outsized share of illegal cannabis activity. Since its inception, the DCR has received more than 2,000 complaints regarding unlicensed commercial operations. Currently, the LAPD tracks 87 verified active unlicensed cannabis businesses Citywide. These operations undermine licensed dispensaries, fuel neighborhood nuisance complaints, and present safety risks to residents and consumers.

Service gaps contributing to the persistence of illegal activity is specifically tied to budget reductions and elimination of overtime funding for NED and CSU resulting in:

- Limited enforcement capacity resulting in the inability to respond to the total complaint volume, creating a perception of limited deterrence and weakening public confidence in the regulatory system;
- Reduced interagency coordination between responsible Departments due to decreased enforcement;
- Gaps in follow up enforcement, allowing shuttered illegal businesses to reopen;
- Reduced capacity for data collection and trend analysis on illicit operations.

1.4 Quantitative. Data collected by CSU portrays the scale of illegal cannabis enforcement activity and the significant decline in enforcement outputs over the past five years due to resource constraints: In Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22, the City budgeted 60,000 hours of overtime for cannabis enforcement; in FY 2024-25, the

City budgeted 49,486 hours. With the current City budget deficit, these set aside overtime hours have been deleted.

- Cease and Desist Letters served decreased by 74.9% from 2021 (403) to 2025 (101)
- Utility Disconnected in decreased by 46.6% from 2021 (311) to 2025 (166)
- Search Warrants served decreased by 16.5% from 2021 (321) to 2025 (268)
- Cannabis Seized decreased by 76.1% from 2021 (258,625 lbs.) to 2025 (61,899 lbs.)
- Currency Seized decreased by 89.7% from 2021 (\$2,127,613) to 2025 (\$219,754)
- Firearms Recovered decreased by 62.6% from 2021 (91) to 2025 (34)
- Felony Arrests decreased by 89.4% from 2021 (302) to 2025 (32)
- Misdemeanor Arrest decreased by 65.6% from 2021 (401) to 2025 (138)
- Additionally, currently, it takes 45 days to close out a complaint; with a 45-day extension

Qualitative. Interviews and complaint data gathered by the DCR and LAPD illustrate that neighborhoods with recurring illegal cannabis storefronts report:

- Increased foot and vehicle traffic at odd hours;
- Drug related nuisance calls to LAPD divisions;
- Exposure of minors to unregulated cannabis advertising and secondary smoke;
- Minimal confidence that violations are being addressed.

Licensed businesses have also expressed economic harm due to unfair competition from unlicensed operators who evade taxes and safety requirements. These impacts are consistent with statewide findings from the BSCC noting that unauthorized cannabis markets disproportionately affect low income and minority neighborhoods.

1.5 The City conducted a multi-agency needs assessment in 2025 that included:

- Stakeholder consultations with the DCR, the City Attorney's Office, and representatives from community-based organizations.
- A review of DCR complaint logs (2020–2024) and CSU enforcement data to identify geographic trends and service gaps.
- Community meetings in LAPD Areas with the highest complaint rates; where residents cited illegal operations as top public safety concerns.
- Survey input from licensed operators and business councils emphasizing the need for timely follow up enforcement.

This collective process confirmed that resource limitations remain the primary obstacle to sustained enforcement, with clear consensus among stakeholders that reinstating overtime and improving inter-agency coordination would produce immediate, measurable benefits.

The data and stakeholder input clearly establish that the City faces an enduring gap between regulatory intent and enforcement capacity. Declining enforcement actions have allowed illegal operators to persist, eroding public health protections and community safety. The proposed grant-funded project will directly address these gaps by restoring enforcement resources, strengthening interagency coordination, and improving the city's ability to protect legal operators and consumers alike fulfilling the core objectives of Proposition 64 and the BSCC Public Health and Safety Grant Program.

2. Section 2. Project Description – 14,000 characters

2.1 Project Components. The LAPD is proposing to implement LAPD: Prop 64 Enforcement Program, a comprehensive, data driven enforcement and public safety initiative designed to suppress unlicensed commercial cannabis activity throughout the City of Los Angeles. The project directly supports Proposition 64, Project Purpose Area 1 (PPA 1): Public Safety/Enforcement.

The proposed project includes the following components

- The LAPD will investigate, plan, and execute search warrant operations when unlicensed businesses are active. Each case averages 276 personnel hours of investigative preparation, from complaint verification to evidence submission. Restoring overtime capacity will enable more warrants, padlock operations, and follow up actions against repeat offenders. The grant funding will enable the LAPD to work on approximately 163 cases over the performance period.
- The LAPD CSU will maintain the Cannabis Management System (CMS) - a shared database with the DCR - tracking every stage of cannabis enforcement, including Cease and Desist Letters, Padlock and Board ups, Search Warrants Served, Investigations Conducted, and Utility Disconnections CMS supports approximately 75 users and generates official statistics for the various stakeholders. The grant funding will support annual licensing for the duration of the performance period.
- The LAPD will share enforcement data and safety advisories with neighborhood councils and community-based partners through DCR's outreach network. Public briefings will improve transparency and reinforce awareness of the risks posed by unlicensed operators.

Operational Process. The LAPD cannabis enforcement process follow the a standardized, progressive enforcement process with the following activities:

1. Receive complaint via DCR portal or community referral.
2. Verify licensing status and location operation.

3. Issue Cease and Desist Letter.
4. If noncompliance persists, execute search warrant in coordination with the DW P for disconnection, DBS and LAFD will issue citations for any code violations.
5. For repeat offenders, conduct followup operations and coordinate padlock and board up actions with DBS and LAFD.
6. Refer cases: misdemeanor offenses to the City Attorney's Office and felony violations to the District Attorney's Office.

The CMS track each action, is integrated into LAPD's chain of command, and has an accepted process for data entry to ensure comprehensive documentation and coordination between the different Areas.

Target Area. The proposed project will cover the entire City, focusing on LAPD Areas with verified concentrations of illegal cannabis activity; the largest concentration of illegal cannabis retailers is in 77th Area with 18 locations followed by Wilshire Area with 14 locations. There are also hundreds of illegal cannabis cultivation locations throughout the Sun Fernando Valley, which encompass seven Areas.

Population Served: The proposed project will cover the entire City with a population of close to four million residents. Beneficiaries include:

- Residents exposed to crime, odor nuisances, and unsafe buildings;
- Consumers purchasing untested, contaminated products; and
- Licensed cannabis operators harmed by the unregulated market.

Enforcement activities are complaint driven, using DCR referrals, community tips, and intelligence analysis. During the performance period, approximately 250–300 illegal cannabis sites will be investigated or revisited annually, prioritizing those linked to community hazards or repeat violations.

2.2 Project Goals and Objectives. Below are the proposed project's three goals and respective objectives:

- I. Reduce the number of illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations in operation in the City of Los Angeles.
 - a. Reduce and/or eliminate the number and activity of illegal cannabis dispensaries & unlicensed grows.
 - b. Increase compliance with licensed cannabis regulations.
 - c. Reduce organized crime associated with illegal grows.
- II. Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations.
 - a. Coordinate High Visibility Operation with respective LAPD Areas
 - b. Maintain Firearms Tracking
 - c. Monitor gang-related crime near the locations
- III. Protect consumer health and legal market integrity.
 - a. Coordinate with DCR and California Dept of Cannabis Control for license verifications
 - b. Removal of unsafe products from circulation

Impact. Projected Impacts at the end of the grant period.

- 20 % increase in warrants served over 2025 levels.
- 40 % reduction in verified unlicensed storefronts.
- 30% reduction in response times to complaints and follow
- Increase in consumer safety and community confidence in legal cannabis system.

2.3 Research by the California Department of Cannabis Control (2023) and RAND Corporation (2022) confirms that consistent, coordinated enforcement combined with administrative closure measures (e.g., padlocking and utility disconnections) is among the most effective methods for reducing unlicensed cannabis activity. These evidence-based practices are already embedded in LAPD's progressive enforcement model and are now limited only by resource capacity.

National Institute of Justice analyses of municipal enforcement initiatives demonstrate that targeted deployments and inter agency task forces reduce criminal opportunism and enhance compliance when paired with transparent data reporting.

The proposed program extends these proven strategies, ensuring decisions are guided by current CMS data rather than anecdotal complaints.

2.4 The proposed program will leverage and maximizes existing City staffing and infrastructures; rather than creating new ones.

- **Personnel:** Existing LAPD detectives and officers will perform all enforcement activities; grant funds will provide additional personnel hours by funding overtime hours. This will enable the LAPD to quickly respond to complaints and/or conduct follow up search warrants.
- **Systems:** The CMS database, established infrastructure within the DCR, and existing joint agency protocols with DWP, DBS, LAFD, and the City Attorney's Office will be maintained.
- **Facilities:** Operations will utilize current LAPD Areas, Motor Transport Division resources, and evidence storage facilities—no new capital expenses.
- **Inter-agency Partnerships:** The proposed program leverages ongoing collaborations with DCC, CDTFA, and the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, expanding coordination instead of duplicating efforts.

Because all necessary command structure, policy framework, and inter agency agreements are already in place, Prop 64 funding will directly translate into measurable increases in operational productivity, enforcement frequency, and data transparency.

Through the reinstatement of cannabis specific overtime, maintenance of the CMS, and reinforcement of inter-agency partnerships, the LAPD will substantially enhance the City's capacity to enforce cannabis regulations, safeguard consumer health, and protect community wellbeing. The project squarely advances the intent of Prop 64 by reinforcing the regulated market, supporting

3. Project Organizational Capacity and Coordination – 5,600 characters

3.1 Program Management: The LAPD GND is comprised 120 sworn and civilian personnel and is aimed to disrupt violent street gangs and the means by which they support their lifestyle, including the manufacture, transportation, sale and use of illicit drugs, and sales of firearms in the greater Los Angeles area. Within GND, there are 40 officers who manage complex or City-wide cases involving fortified dispensaries, large scale cultivations, and butane honey oil (BHO) laboratories.

Each of the 21 Area NED comprises of two to five detectives and officers experienced in surveillance, undercover operations, and search warrant service. Combined, more than 200 sworn personnel are available to investigate unlicensed cannabis businesses. Most are court-qualified narcotics experts with certifications in evidence handling, hazardous site entry, and cannabis specific enforcement.

Over the past five years, 2021-2025, the LAPD has averaged approximately 360-cannabis related search warrants annually. Current funding constraints reduced output to 268 in 2025, underscoring the immediate need and LAPD's proven ability to expand production when resources become available.

Additionally, the LAPD has institutionalized its crime data collection through the CompStat Division. The proposed grant program can tap into this infrastructure for crime statistics that could be used in the planning and evaluation of the grant program.

Grant Management: LAPD will provide fiscal and administrative oversight over the grant award. The LAPD Grants Section manages over 35 active federal and state grants, including a \$16.5 million grant award for the BSCC Organized Retail Theft Grant. A grants analyst will be assigned to manage the administrative aspects of the grant, including preparing and submitting financial and progress reports and ensuring all grant requirements are met and expenditures follow both the City and BSCC financial policies and guidelines.

Project Personnel.

- Captain III Ahmad Zarekani is the commanding officer of GND and will serve as Program Director, providing the overall direction of the grant program. Captain

Zarekani is a 32-year LAPD veteran and has been the commanding officer of GND since 2023.

- Detective III Michael Boylls is assigned to the CSU and will serve as the Project Manager, responsible for day-to-day operations, including coordinating with NED supervisors Citywide to synchronize tactical schedules and resource allocations. Detective Boylls will host monthly coordination meetings with all Area Narcotics Supervisors, reviewing enforcement metrics, budget utilization, and progress toward stated goals. Quarterly performance reports will be prepared for command review and BSCC submission.
- Crime Intelligence Analyst Darlene Dizon-Tolentino is assigned to the CSU and will be responsible in the management of the CMS to ensure all statistics are inputted and reported by the NEDs; and complaint tracking through DCR's portal. Ms. Dizon-Tolentino possesses more than a decade of crime data collection and analysis experience including compiling reports for the LAPD's Chief of Police, Mayor's Office, and DCR, and is knowledgeable with Prop 64 reporting standards.
- TBD Grant Analyst: The LAPD Grants Section will assign one grant analyst for the Prop 65 grant administration.

3.2 The LAPD is an active member of the of the City's Cannabis Task Force and will continue to do so during the grant performance period. During constraint funding, the LAPD was not able to hold recurring meetings to discuss enforcements but will do so during the performance period. Any best practices incurred and lessons learned during the operation of the proposed program will be sustained within the task force activities beyond the grant performance period.

3.3 Timeline. The proposed timeline is as follows:

July – December 2026 – Planning Phase: The first six months will be spent on:

- The LAPD Grant Section will secure grant award review and approval by the City Council and Mayor
- The Grant Section will submit required financial reports.

- The CSU and Grants Section will coordinate and submit the Local Evaluation Report.
- The CSU will complete and seek BSCC approval for the Local Evaluation Plan; determine quantitative and qualitative measures.
- The CSU will meet with the 21 NEDs to plan and coordinate the grant program activities
- The CSU will meet with the City's Cannabis Task Force to plan and coordinate the grant program activities.

January 2027 – June 2031 – Implementation Phase: The next 54 months will be spent on:

- The CSU will implement grant-funded cannabis enforcement
- The CSU will implement gathering required data for grant reporting
- The CSU and Grants Section will initiate and complete the purchase and deploy the grant-funded supplies and software
- The Grants Section will coordinate the contracting efforts for an independent auditor and will execute the contract
- The Grant Section will submit required financial reports
- The CSU and Grants Section will coordinate and submit the Local Evaluation Reports.
- The CSU will complete eight proposed grant-funded training
- The CSU will continue to meet with the City's Cannabis Task Force to plan and coordinate the grant program activities.

July - December 2031 – The next six months will be spent on:

- The CSU will complete the Final Evaluation Report
- The contracted auditor will complete the financial audit

4. Project Evaluation – 5,600 characters

4.1 The CSU will be responsible for the project evaluation. The CSU, in coordination with the Grants Section and the City Cannabis Task Force, will complete the Evaluation Plan and Local Evaluation Report. Quarterly progress reports will be submitted by the LAPD's Grants Section.

The CSU maintains and manages the CMS, the City's centralized, shared database for the City's Cannabis enforcement operations, and has served as the LAPD's central data and analytics section for all cannabis related enforcement since 2019. The CSU employs a crime intelligence analyst who is responsible in managing CMS operations for five years. The crime intelligence analyst will serve as the project evaluator.

Monitoring Activities Throughout the Project Phases.

- **Start Up Phase:** During the first six months, the LAPD, working with BSCC and the City Cannabis Task Force, will determine the quantitative and qualitative data that will be gathered during the grant performance period. Baseline enforcement metrics (eg number of cease and desist letters, warrants, arrests, and seizures 2021–2025) will serve as comparison benchmarks. Following the identification, the LAPD will determine the data collection process/protocols and will validate CMS (and any other database identified) user access.
- **Implementation / Service Delivery Period:** The LAPD will follow the process/protocols identified. Proposed process would most likely include each NED Supervisor will input enforcement data into CMS within 72 hours of enforcement operation. The CSU evaluator will audit entries monthly for accuracy and completeness.
- **Ongoing Monitoring:** The Project Manager will convene with NED Supervisors to track progress against Work Plan targets—search warrants served, illegal locations closed, and firearms recovered.

- End of Period Evaluation: The Final Report will summarize outcome attainment, identify lessons learned, and recommend policy improvements. The Final Report is not only a compliance requirement but a core management tool for the LAPD. Findings will guide future allocation of overtime resources, refinement of the Cannabis Management System, and expansion of data sharing with DCR and state partners. By measuring changes in illegal storefronts, cultivation shutdowns, and associated crime reductions, the City will quantify the public safety and market stabilization benefits that Proposition 64 funding provides

4.2 Data Collection and Outcome Measurement

Data Systems and Sources. All project metrics will be drawn from CMS, which tags each enforcement action by location, bureau, case number, and operation type. The primary datasets include the following.

- Number of verified unlicensed cannabis locations
- Cease and desist letters issued
- Utility disconnects executed through LADWP coordination
- Search warrants served and follow up operations conducted
- Cannabis and currency seized (weight and value)
- Firearms recovered and criminal arrests made
- Padlock and board up closures with DBS confirmation
- Joint task force operations with state or federal partners

The DCR will receive a complaint through their web portal. DCR will verify if the location is legal or illegal; if illegal, a complaint will be sent to the respective LAPD Area NED through the CMS portal. The respective Area NED will then investigate the complaint, and any enforcement action will be recorded in the CMS system. When the location is permanently shut down, that respective complaint in CMS will be closed.

The LAPD grant personnel will also be tracking qualitative data by documenting the following data in the neighborhoods with recurring illegal cannabis storefronts:

- Drug related nuisance calls to LAPD divisions;
- Other environmental factors that the BSCC and the City Cannabis Task Force will deem appropriate for this project.

Outcome Measures. Below are the proposed outcome indicators for the goals:

Goal 1 : Reduce the number of illegal cannabis dispensaries and illegal grow locations in operation in the City of Los Angeles.

Outcome Indicators: Quantitative comparison of illegal cannabis dispensaries that have closed, investigations started/closed, search warrants, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.

Goal 2: Enhance public safety and reduce crime around illegal locations.

Outcome Indicators: Quantitative comparison of crime data around illegal locations, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.

Goal 3. Protect consumer health and legal market integrity.

Outcome Indicators: Quantitative comparison of illegal cannabis seized, and other indicators as determined during the first six months of the program.

Data Quality Assurance. The CSU will validate entries through random spot checks every quarter.

2026 Proposition 64 Public Health & Safety Grant Program - Proposal Budget and Budget Narrative

Name of City or County Applicant: City of Los Angeles - Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Term: July 1, 2026 through December 31, 2031

**Note: Budget Categories 1 - 7 will auto-populate based on the information entered in the sections below.
Do not alter or recreate this budget template. Applicants that do not use the BSCC Budget Template will be disqualified.**

Budget Category	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
1. Salaries and Benefits	\$5,788,888	\$0	\$5,788,888
2. Services and Supplies	\$159,256	\$0	\$159,256
3. Professional Services or Public Agency Subcontracts	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000
4. Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Subcontracts	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Equipment/Fixed Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)	\$26,856	\$0	\$26,856
7. Indirect Costs (For PPAs 1 through 4)	\$0		\$0
TOTAL	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000
Percentage of Grant Funds Dedicated to PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement (excludes amount budgeted for Indirect Costs)		100.00%	

1a. Salaries and Benefits

Position Title	(Show as either % FTE or Hourly Rate) & Benefits	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
Overtime for Sworn Personnel	\$123.60 x 44991 hours	\$5,560,888	\$0	\$5,560,888
Overtime for Civilian Personnel	\$95/hour x 2400 hours	\$228,000	\$0	\$228,000
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$5,788,888	\$0	\$5,788,888

1b. Salaries and Benefits Narrative: Provide a brief description for each position that addresses their role on the grant project.

Sworn Overtime. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) will be allocating majority of the proposed budget for overtime hours doing direct enforcement activities for unlicensed dispensaries. A typical enforcement for unlicensed dispensary would average 276 work hours between 12 personnel assigned to the case; 120 hours for surveillance, 96 hours for search warrants (briefing, staging, service, debrief), 28 hours for arrests (booking, reports/administrative), and 12 hours for court time. Assigned personnel is at the rank of Detective 3, Detective 2, Detective 1, Police Officer 3 and Police Officer 2. The average overtime rate for these personnel is \$113.41 plus fringe benefits related to overtime of 8.99%, \$123.60. The average cost for a typical enforcement for an unlicensed dispensary is \$34,116.36. The LAPD targets to have 163 enforcement operations throughout the grant period for a total of \$5,560,888.

The Fringe Benefits rate for overtime is 8.99% based on the federally-approved CAP 42 rate. Benefits include: 1.39% Medicare, 7.60% Worker's Comp, 0.01% Unemployment, and -0.01% Share of Carry Forward.

Civilian Overtime. The LAPD will be allocating for overtime hours for data collection and reporting requirements. These activities will largely be done by the Crime Intelligence Analyst from the LAPD Cannabis Support Unit, the assigned grant analyst, and from the analyst(s) within LAPD CompStat Division for other crime statistics needed to support the analysis of this program. It is estimated that 40 hours of overtime will be expended each month for the duration of the performance period. The average overtime hours for these personnel is \$90.35 plus fringe benefits related to overtime of 5.15%, \$95. The total budgeted cost for 5 years is \$228,000.

2a. Services and Supplies

Description of Services or Supplies	Calculation for Expenditure	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
Software subscription	\$30,650 annual subscription x 5 years	\$153,250	\$0	\$153,250
Laptop	\$2002 each x 3	\$6,006	\$0	\$6,006
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$159,256	\$0	\$159,256

2b. Services and Supplies Narrative: Provide a brief description for each item that explains how it will be used toward fulfilling grant objectives

Subscription Software. This line item is a part of the data collection budget/allocation. The LAPD maintains and manages a centralized Cannabis Management Software to track every stage of cannabis enforcement, including Number of verified unlicensed cannabis locations, cease and desist letters issued, Utility disconnects executed through LADWP coordination, Search warrants served and follow up operations conducted, Cannabis and currency seized (weight and value), Firearms recovered and criminal arrests made, Padlock and board up closures with DBS confirmation, Joint task force operations with state or federal partners. CMS will support approximately 75 users and generates official statistics for the various stakeholders. The grant funding will support annual licensing for the duration of the performance period.

Laptops. The LAPD is requesting to purchase three laptops to support the grant program activities.

3a. Professional Services or Public Agency Subcontracts

Description of Professional Service(s)	Calculation for Expenditure	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
Independent Financial Auditor Contract	\$25,000 x 1	\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$25,000	\$0	\$25,000

3b. Professional Services or Public Agency Subcontracts Narrative: *List each consultant and/or public agency that will receive grant funds. Provide a brief description of the services that will be provided.*

The City of LA has procured an external accounting firm that conducts the federally-required Single Audit on federal funded grants and other programs. The LAPD is allocating \$25,000 to use the external accounting firm to conduct a financial audit on the grant and submit an audit report.

4a. Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Subcontracts

Description of Subcontracts	Calculation for Expenditure	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$0	\$0	\$0

4b. Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Subcontracts Narrative: *List each NGO subcontractor that will receive grant funds. Provide a brief description of the services that will be provided.*

Enter narrative here. You may expand row height if needed.

5a. Equipment/Fixed Assets

Description of Equipment/Fixed Assets	Calculation for Expense	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0

5b. Equipment/Fixed Assets Narrative: List any equipment or fixed assets that will be purchased with grant funds and provide a brief description of each item that explains how it will be used toward fulfilling grant objectives.

Enter narrative here. You may expand row height if needed.

6a. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)				
Description of Other (Travel, Training, etc.)	Calculation for Expense	A. Grant Funds for PPA 1: Public Safety/Enforcement	B. Grant Funds for PPA 2, 3, and/or 4	Total Requested Grant Funds (A+B)
Cannabis Enforcement Summit	\$1,407 per person x 2 personnel x 3 events	\$8,442	\$0	\$8,442
California Narcotic Officers Association (CNOA) Annual Training (or similar)	\$3,069 per person x 2 personnel x 3 events	\$18,414	\$0	\$18,414
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
		\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL	\$26,856	\$0	\$26,856

6b. Other (Travel, Training, etc.) Narrative: Provide a brief explanation for how each item listed above will contribute toward fulfilling grant objectives. Please budget for at least one 2-day trip to Sacramento for 3-5 key grant team members.

Sacramento for 3-5 key grant team members.
 Cannabis Enforcement Summit: The LAPD is proposing to send 2 program personnel to the 3-day Cannabis Enforcement Summit annually for Years 2, 3, and 4. The Summit is projected to include the following topics: Code Enforcement, Intelligence Trends and Resources, Major Cannabis Case Debriefs, Labor Trafficking, and Cannabis Legal Update. Below is the breakdown of expenses. GSA Rate for Sacramento is used for budget purposes.
 Registration: \$300 per person x 2 personnel x 3 events = 1,800
 Lodging: \$ 180 per night x 2 personnel x 4 nights x 3 events = \$4,320
 Per Diem/Meals: \$86 per day x 2 personnel x 4.5 days x 3 events = \$2,322
 The California Narcotic Officers Association (CNOA) Annual Training or similar regional conference/coordination meeting. The LAPD is proposing to send 2 program personnel to annual CNOA training for Years 2, 3, and 4. The training/conference is projected to consist of multiple workshops for the LAPD to learn best practices and lessons learned in the field of narcotics. Below is the breakdown of expenses. GSA rates for San Diego is used for budget purposes.
 Registration: \$800 per person x 2 personnel x 3 events = 4,800
 Lodging: \$ 285 per night x 2 personnel x 6 nights x 3 events = \$10,260
 Per Diem/Meals: \$86 per day x 2 personnel x 6.5 days x 3 events = \$3,354
 State Travel Policies will apply on actual expenditures.

7a. Indirect Costs

Part 4. Indirect Costs		
For this grant program, indirect costs may be charged using only <u>one</u> of the two options below:	Grant Funds	Total Requested Grant Funds
1) Indirect costs not to exceed 15 percent (15%) of all grant-funded costs, excluding equipment and fixed assets . Applicable if the applicant does not have a federally approved indirect cost rate.	\$0	\$0
<i>If using Option 1) grant funds allocated to Indirect Costs may not exceed:</i>	\$900,000	
2) Indirect costs not to exceed 20 percent (20%) of all grant-funded costs, excluding equipment and fixed assets . Applicable if the applicant has a federally approved indirect cost rate. Amount claimed may not exceed the applicant's federally approved indirect cost rate.	\$0	\$0
<i>If using Option 2) grant funds allocated to Indirect Costs may not exceed:</i>	\$1,200,000	
<i>Please see Instructions tab for additional information regarding Indirect Costs. If the amount exceeds the maximum allowed and/or turns red, please adjust it to not exceed the line-item noted.</i>	\$0	\$0

7b. Indirect Costs Narrative:

Enter narrative here. You may expand row height if needed. **If using a federally approved indirect cost rate, please include the rate in the narrative.**