## INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

February 14, 2014 5.6

**TO:** Honorable Board of Police Commissioners

**FROM:** Chief of Police

**SUBJECT:** REQUEST FOR PAYMENT OF REWARD OFFER ON CITY COUNCIL FILE NO. 07-0010-S18

## **RECOMMENDED ACTIONS**

- 1. That the Board of Police Commissioners REVIEW and APPROVE the attached Application for Special Reward Payment.
- 2. That the Board TRANSMIT to the Mayor and City Council the Application for Special Reward Payment for review and approval.

### DISCUSSION

On May 5, 2007, Mario Jackson and Tierney Yates were murdered inside the "Magic Wheels Private Club" located at 10974 South Broadway. Detectives John Zambos, Serial No. 23110, and Leo Kerchenske, Serial No. 24341, were the lead investigators. Detective Michael Owens, Serial No. 32795, was later assigned to the investigation. Initially, none of the witnesses were willing to cooperate. Although there were leads for the investigators to pursue, no one was willing to identify the perpetrator.

On September 18, 2007, a \$50,000 reward was introduced by the Los Angeles City Council for information leading to the identification, apprehension, and conviction of the person responsible for this double murder.

Subsequently, a witness provided information to the detectives indicating that he knew the identity of the killer. Charon McMichael, a "Raymond Avenue Crip" street gang member, admitted to the witness that he had shot and killed the victims. Although the witness initially came forward with this information on July 11, 2007, his on-going assistance was required in order to file murder charges on the defendant.

On October 20, 2008, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office filed two counts of murder on McMichael because of the information provided by said witness. An arrest warrant was obtained, and McMichael was later arrested in Las Vegas, Nevada, with the assistance of the witness. On November 6, 2008, McMichael was extradited to Los Angeles to face murder charges. The murder trial resulted in a hung jury. McMichael eventually pled guilty to two counts of 192(a) PC Voluntary Manslaughter, and was sentenced to 30 years in prison. The Witness testified at both the preliminary hearing and the jury trial.

The Honorable Board of Police Commissioners Page 2 5.6

It is the opinion of Detective III Richard Gordon that the witness is eligible for the reward offer. The information he provided enabled murder charges to be filed on the defendant. The witness was directly responsible for the identification, apprehension, and conviction of Charon McMichael. Based on these facts, it is requested that the reward funds be paid to the witness listed on the attached Applications for Special Reward Payment.

The witness name was not included in this document to protect the identify and safety of the witness.

Should you have any questions, please contact Lieutenant II Jeff Nolte, Acting Commanding Officer, Criminal Gang/Homicide Division, at (213) 485-4341.

Respectfully,

CHARLIE BECK Chief of Police

Attachments

#### CITY OF LOS ANGELES APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL REWARD PAYMENT

<u>DISTRIBUTION</u> ORIG -- LAPD DUPE - City Clerk DUPE -- Claimant

	Neither the filing nor submitting of informa establish legal claim to, or right of recover by Chapter 12, Division 19 of the Los Angeles Administrative Code.			
1.	PRINT NAME OF APPLICANT: Last Witness		First:	Middle
2.	ADDRESS: (Street) <u>Daytime</u> Phone & Contact Person <b>Confidential in care of In</b>	(City) vestigating Officer (IO)		(State – Zip)
3.	TODAY'S DATE:	4.	OCCUPATION:	
	2/05/2014		Unknown	
5. Con	DRIVER'S LICENSE # or CALIF ID #:	6.	SOCIAL SECURITY #: (For payment purposes	)
	DESCRIPTION OF CIRCL	JMSTANCES: (Fill in or ma	ark Al I that apply)	
	NOTE: The applicant is responsible for providing A following required information may be disapproved	LL of the following required i		pes not provide the
7.		TIME OF INCIDENT: <u>11:50 P</u> h Broadway	<u>M</u> MIDN	IGHT NOON
9. 10. 11.	TYPE OF CRIME / INVESTIGATION : Murder (2) DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT: On May 5, 2007, 1 Club located at 10974 South Broadway. A figh McMichael produced a handgun and shot the v	Victims Mario Jackson and Ti t broke out between individua	als within the club at which	point suspect Charon
12.	APPLICANT SIGNATURE		3 T OR GUARDIAN SIGNATURE +	under 18 years)
	DO NOT WRITE BELC	OW THIS LINE - FOR OFFI	CIAL USE ONLY	
INVEST	IGATING OFFICER: Name Detective III Richard Gor	don Serial #24262 Agency	LAPD/CGHD Phone # (2	13) 485-4341
	OF VICTIM OR CASE: lackson DR 0718-14716 and Tierney Yates DR 0718-	14717		
RECOM	IMENDATION: <u>X</u> APPROVAL DISAF	PROVAL INVESTIGATION	I CHECKLIST ATTACHED:	<u>X_</u> YesNo
	-	INSUFFICIENT INVOLV	EMENT	
		INSUFFICIENT INFORM	NATION	
The with information the detection the sus hung ju	EXPLANATION OR COMMENTS: mess contacted detectives and provided information ation provided by the witness enabled murder charge ectives by providing information regarding the when spect. Witness-1 testified in the preliminary hearing ury. Prior to the retrial, McMichael pled guilty to two McMichael would not have prosecuted without the	yes to be filed against the sus reabouts of the suspect. Witr and trial of People V. Charon o counts of 192(a) PC. He wa	pect, Charon McMichael. hess-1's actions were instru McMichael, Case TA11106 s sentenced to (30) years in	Vitness-1 also assisted umental in the arrest of 6. The trial resulted in a

**REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY:** 

# CITY OF LOS ANGELES REWARD CLAIM CHECKLIST

COUNCIL FILE NUMBER:	07-0010-S58	DR/AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 0718-14716 / 0718-14717		
TYPE OF CRIME/INVESTIG/	ATION: Double Homicide	REWARD AMOUNT: \$ 50,000		
REWARD EFFECTIVE DATE	E:9/18/2007	REWARD EXPIRATION DATE: 12/8/2008		
INFO. REC'D WHILE REWAR (circle one)	RD IN EFFECT: X YES NO	DATE REC'D: 7/11/2007 and on-going		
CLAIMANT: <b>Witness</b> (Name or "Anonymous." If m	ultiple anonymous claimants, indicate as	CLAIMANT'S OCCUPATION: Laborer "Claimant A, Claimant B," etc.).		
CASE INVEST. BY: LAPD	Criminal Gang/Homicide Division (Div/Section)	OTHER CITY DEPARTMENT:		
Investigator(s) Assigned:	Detective III Richard Gordon #24262	Telephone: (213) 485-4341		
		Telephone: ( )		
	(Name and	Serial No.)		
Division 19, Chapter 12, Sec	ctions 19.120 through 19.129.4.	eceive payment of a reward pursuant to the Los Angeles Administrative code		
Claimant is a City office	ing NOT ELIGIBLE to receive payment er, employee, or public officer or avment includes duties of law	Claimant obtained information for a relative who is a City Officer		
Claimant is a City office employee whose emplo enforcement.	er, employee, or public officer or oyment includes duties of law	Claimant obtained information for a relative who is a City Officer employee, or public officer or employee, and that person obtaine the information in the course of his/her employment.		
Claimant is a City office employee whose emplo enforcement. Claimant is a depender officer or employee who	er, employee, or public officer or byment includes duties of law nt of a City Officer, employee, or public ose duties include law enforcement	Claimant obtained information for a relative who is a City Officer employee, or public officer or employee, and that person obtaine the information in the course of his/her employment. Claimant has not provided continued cooperation wihtin the criminal justice system relative to the case for which the offer of reward is made.		
Claimant is a City office employee whose emplo enforcement. Claimant is a depender officer or employee who Claimant has already b in some other manner f	er, employee, or public officer or byment includes duties of law nt of a City Officer, employee, or public ose duties include law enforcement een compensated by his/her employer or for the acitons which are the bases for	Claimant obtained information for a relative who is a City Officer, employee, or public officer or employee, and that person obtaine the information in the course of his/her employment. Claimant has not provided continued cooperation wihtin the criminal justice system relative to the case for which the offer of reward is made.		
Claimant is a City office employee whose emplo enforcement. Claimant is a depender officer or employee who Claimant has already b in some other manner f	er, employee, or public officer or byment includes duties of law nt of a City Officer, employee, or public ose duties include law enforcement een compensated by his/her employer or	<ul> <li>Claimant obtained information for a relative who is a City Officer, employee, or public officer or employee, and that person obtained the information in the course of his/her employment.</li> <li>Claimant has not provided continued cooperation within the criminal justice system relative to the case for which the offer of reward is made.</li> <li>Claimant is co-suspect in the crime for which the reward is</li> </ul>		
Claimant is a City office employee whose emplo enforcement. Claimant is a depender officer or employee who Claimant has already b in some other manner f The suspect would ben claimant.	er, employee, or public officer or byment includes duties of law nt of a City Officer, employee, or public ose duties include law enforcement een compensated by his/her employer or for the acitons which are the bases for	<ul> <li>Claimant obtained information for a relative who is a City Officer employee, or public officer or employee, and that person obtained the information in the course of his/her employment.</li> <li>Claimant has not provided continued cooperation within the criminal justice system relative to the case for which the offer of reward is made.</li> <li>Claimant is co-suspect in the crime for which the reward is offered.</li> </ul>		
Claimant is a City office employee whose emplo enforcement. Claimant is a depender officer or employee who in some other manner f The suspect would ben claimant. The claimant is acting a	er, employee, or public officer or byment includes duties of law nt of a City Officer, employee, or public ose duties include law enforcement een compensated by his/her employer or for the acitons which are the bases for refit from payment of the reward to the	<ul> <li>Claimant obtained information for a relative who is a City Officer employee, or public officer or employee, and that person obtained the information in the course of his/her employment.</li> <li>Claimant has not provided continued cooperation wihtin the criminal justice system relative to the case for which the offer of reward is made.</li> <li>Claimant is co-suspect in the crime for which the reward is offered.</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul>		
Claimant is a City office employee whose emplo enforcement. Claimant is a depender officer or employee who in some other manner f Claimant has already be in some other manner f The suspect would ben claimant. The claimant is acting a Explanation:	er, employee, or public officer or byment includes duties of law nt of a City Officer, employee, or public ose duties include law enforcement een compensated by his/her employer or for the acitons which are the bases for refit from payment of the reward to the as an agent for the suspect.	<ul> <li>Claimant obtained information for a relative who is a City Officer employee, or public officer or employee, and that person obtained the information in the course of his/her employment.</li> <li>Claimant has not provided continued cooperation wihtin the criminal justice system relative to the case for which the offer of reward is made.</li> <li>Claimant is co-suspect in the crime for which the reward is offered.</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul>		